



This is a digitally signed Gazette, to verify click here.

<http://rajpatrahimachal.nic.in>

राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश

हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्य शासन द्वारा प्रकाशित

सोमवार, 3 जुलाई, 2017 / 12 आषाढ़, 1939

हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार

TECHNICAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-2, the 1st July, 2017

No.EDN (TE) A (I) 7/2009-II.—The Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to order the creation and filling up the following posts in Hydro Engineering College Bandla, District Bilaspur in phased manner under Demand No. 27, Major Head-2203-00-112-01--SOON-Plan Scheme, in public interest, with immediate effect as under:

Sr. No.	Name of Post	Pay Scale	To be filled in the Year				No. of posts
			2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	
1.	Director-cum-Principal	37400-67000+10000GP+3000SA	1				1
2.	Professor (Engineering)	37400-67000+10000GP	-	2	2	-	4
3.	Professor (Applied Sciences & Humanities)	37400-67000+10000GP	1	-	-	-	1
4.	Associate Professor (Engineering)	37400-67000+9000GP	0	4	4	4	12
5.	Associate Professor (Applied Sciences & Humanities)	37400-67000+9000GP	0	1	1	-	2
6.	Assistant Professor (Engineering)	15600-39100+6000GP	0	16	8	8	32
7.	Assistant Professor (Applied Sciences & Humanities)	15600-39100+6000GP	6	-	-	-	6
8.	Assistant Professor (Computer Engineering)	15600-39100+6000 GP	1	-	-	-	1
9.	Training & Placement Officer	15600-39100+6000GP	-	-	-	1	1
10.	Workshop Superintendent	15600-39100+6000GP	1	-	-	-	1
11.	Librarian	15600-39100+6000GP	1	-	-	-	1
12.	Workshop Instructor	10300-34800+4200GP	4	4	4	-	12

13.	Asstt. Controller (F&A)	15600-39100+ 5400GP	-	1	-	-	1
14.	Sr. Scale Stenographer	15600-39100+ 4400GP	1	-	-	-	1
15.	Supdt. Grade-II	15600-39100+ 4800GP	1	-	-	-	1
16.	Computer Assistant	10300-34800+ 3800GP	-	2	-	-	2
17.	Sr. Assistant	10300-34800+ 4400GP	2	2	1	-	5
18.	Hostel Supdt.	10300-34800+ 3200GP	-	2	-	-	2
19.	Junior Engineer (Civil)	10300-34800+ 3800GP	-	1	-	-	1
20.	Clerk	5910-20200+ 1900GP	2	-	-	-	2
21.	Jr. Office Asstt. (IT)	5910-20200+ 1950GP	-	2	2	-	4
22.	Lab. Technician	10300-34800+ 3000GP	-	5	5	5	15
23.	Personal Assistant	10300-34800+ 4800GP	-	1	-	-	1
24.	Lab. Attendant	4900-10680+ 1300GP	-	5	5	4	14
25.	Store Attendant	4900-10680+ 1300GP	1	-	-	1	2
Total Teaching and Technical Supporting			22	48	32	23	125

The permission to outsource the services viz Driver, Cleaner-cum-conductor, Office Peon, Sweeper, Watchman/Security Guard, Gardner/Mali, Plumber & Electrician as per requirement from time to time in favour of Hydro Engineering College Society Bandla District Bilaspur is also accorded.

By order,
SANJAY GUPTA
Principal Secretary (TE).

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, the 16th August, 2016*

No.: 11-1/85(Lab) ID/2016/Kangra.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Rajiv Goswami and other workers C/O Jagran Prakashan Limited, Village Banoi, P.O. Rajol, Tehsil Shahpur, Near Kangra Airport, Pathankot-Mandi Highway, District Kangra, H.P. and the Employer/Management, Jagran Prakashan Limited, Village Banoi, P.O. Rajol, Tehsil Shahpur, Near Kangra Airport, Pathankot-Mandi Highway, District Kangra, H.P. on the miscellaneous demands.

As per the report under section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer-cum-Labour Inspector Dharamshala, District Kangra, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section-5 of Section-12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

Demand No. 1:

“Whether the non-implementation of the recommendations of Majhithia Wage Board by the General Manager, Jagran Prakashan Limited, Village Banoi, P.O. Rajol, Tehsil Shahpur, Near Kangra Airport, Pathankot-Mandi Highway, District Kangra, H.P. is legal and justified? If not, what benefits as per recommendations of the Majithia Wage Board the workers are entitled to from the above employer/Management?”

Demand No.2:

“Whether the action of the General Manager, Jagran Prakashan Limited, Village Banoi, P.O. Rajol, Tehsil Shahpur, Near Kangra Airport, Pathankot-Mandi Highway, District Kangra, H.P. to victimize, transfer and terminate the services of the workers is legal and justified? If not, what benefits the workers are entitled to from the above employer/ management?”

Demand No. 3:

“Whether the workers who are working during night shift are entitled to be paid night shift allowance or not? If yes, on which ratio?”

Demand No. 7:

“Whether the action of the General Manager, Jagran Prakashan Limited, Village Banoi, P.O. Rajol, Tehsil Shahpur, Near Kangra Airport, Pathankot-Mandi Highway, District Kangra, H.P. not to promote and not to give annual increment to some of the workers is legal and justified? If not, what benefits the above workers are entitled to from the above employer/management?”

Demand No. 8:

“Whether the demand raised by the workers regarding medical claim policy worth Rs. 5 lacs and insurance policy worth Rs. 5 lacs from the General Manager, Jagran Prakashan Limited, Village Banoi, P.O. Rajol, Tehsil Shahpur, Near Kangra Airport, Pathankot- Mandi Highway, District Kangra, H.P. is legal and justified? If yes, what benefits/relief the workers are entitled to from the above employer/management?”

By order,
Sd/-
Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 29th August, 2016

No.: 11-1/85(Lab) ID/2016/Kangra.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Smt. Sandhi Devi W/O Shri Nand Lal, R/O V.P.O. Dhanag, Tehsil Baijnath, District Kangra, H.P. and Employer/Manager, M/S Baijnath Tea Estate Company Private Limited, Village, P.O. & Tehsil Baijnath, District Kangra, H.P. on the issue of her alleged retirement w.e.f. 31-03-2014.

As per the report under section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section-5 of Section-12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether retirement of Smt. Sandhi Devi W/O Shri Nand Lal, R/O V.P.O. Dhanag, Tehsil Baijnath, District Kangra, H.P. by the Employer/Manager, M/S Baijnath Tea Estate Company Private Limited, Village, P.O. & Tehsil Baijnath, District Kangra, H.P. w.e.f. 31-03-2014 on attaining the age of 58 years whereas workman has alleged that she has completed 55 years of age is illegal and unjustified? If yes, what relief of service benefits the above worker is entitled to from the above management.”

By order,
Sd/-
Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, the 17th August, 2016*

No.:11-1/7(Lab)ID/2016/Joginder Nagar.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Smt. Savita Devi W/O Shri Baldev Singh, R/O Village Bachkhera, P.O. Tikroo, Tehsil Joginder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P. and the Divisional Forest Officer, Joginder Forest Division, Joginder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P. on the issue of alleged time to time termination from services as daily wages beldar during August, 1997 to December, 2009 and finally during January, 2010.

As per the report under section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether time to time termination of the services of Smt. Savita Devi W/O Shri Baldev Singh, R/O Village Bachkhera, P.O. Tikroo, Tehsil Joginder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P. during August, 1997 to December, 2009 and finally during January, 2010 by the Divisional Forest Officer, Joginder Forest Division, Joginder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P., without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employer?”

By order,
Sd/-

*Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.*

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, the 17th August, 2016*

No.:11-5/99(Lab)ID/2016/Chamba.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Shiv Chand S/O Shri Loki Ram, R/O Village Chasak, P.O. Saichu, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. and (i) the Divisional Forest Officer, Wild Life Forest Division, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P. (ii) the Divisional Forest Officer, Forest Division, Killar, District Chamba, H.P. on the issue of alleged time to time termination from services as daily wages beldar during year, 1994 to year, 2003 and finally during February, 2010.

As per the report under section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether time to time termination of services of Shri Shiv Chand S/O Shri Loki Ram, R/O Village Chasak, P.O. Saichu, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. during year, 1994 to year, 2003 and finally during February, 2010 by (i) the Divisional Forest Officer, Wild Life Forest Division, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P. (ii) the Divisional Forest Officer, Forest Division, Killar, District Chamba, H.P., without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employers?”

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 23rd August, 2016

No.: 11-23/48(Lab)ID/2016-Una.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Sunder Singh S/O Shri Swaran Singh, R/O V.P.O. Deoli, Tehsil Amb, District Una, H.P. and (i) the President/Managing Director, D.A.V. College Managing Committee, Arya Samaj Bhawan, UP Block, Pittampura, Delhi-110034 (ii) the Principal, D.A.V. Senior Secondary Public School, Ambota, District Una, H.P. on the issue of termination of his services w.e.f. 15-03-2014.

As per the report under section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub section-5 of Section-12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section-10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether termination of services of Shri Sunder Singh S/O Shri Swaran Singh, R/O V.P.O. Deoli, Tehsil Amb, District Una, H.P. w.e.f.15-03-2014 after conducting domestic enquiry by (i) the President/Managing Director, D.A.V. College Managing Committee, Arya Samaj Bhawan, UP Block, Pittampura, Delhi-110034 (ii) the Principal, D.A.V. Senior Secondary Public School, Ambota, District Una, H.P. who was employed as driver, without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employers/Management?”

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 29th August, 2016

No.:11-1/85(Lab)ID/2016/Kangra.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Suresh Kumar S/O Shri Amar Nath, R/O V.P.O. Jalot, Tehsil & District Kangra, H.P. and (i) the Managing Director, Amar Ujala Publication Limited, Plot No. 22,23 Industrial Area, Nagrota Bagwan, Tehsil Nagrota Bagwan, District Kangra, H.P. (Principal Employer) and (ii) the General Manager, Sham Professional Private Limited, D-130, Surya Place Delhi Road, Meerut (contractor) on the issue of alleged termination from services w.e.f. 31-12-2014.

As per the report under section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub section-5 of Section-12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether termination of the services of Shri Suresh Kumar S/O Shri Amar Nath, R/O V.P.O. Jalot, Tehsil & District Kangra, H.P. w.e.f. 31-12-2014 by (i) the Managing Director, Amar Ujala Publication Limited, Plot No. 22,23 Industrial Area, Nagrota Bagwan, Tehsil Nagrota Bagwan, District Kangra, H.P. (Principal Employer) and (ii) the General Manager, Sham Professional Private Limited, D-130, Surya Place Delhi Road, Meerut (contractor), without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employers?”

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, the 14th June, 2016*

No.: 11-1/85(Lab) ID/2016/Kangra.—Whereas the Labour Inspector-cum-Conciliation Officer, Palampur, District Kangra has submitted a report as provided under Section-12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 stating that there was an alleged industrial dispute in between Smt. Babita Sharma W/O Shri Ashok Sharma, R/O V.P.O. Sullah, Tehsil Palampur, District Kangra, H.P. and the Divisional Forest Officer, Palampur Forest Division, Palampur, District Kangra, H.P. as per demand notice dated 11-05-2015 submitted by the said ex-worker regarding her termination of services.

Whereas, the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, has incorporated in the report that during the course of conciliation proceedings for the purpose of bringing about a legal and amicable settlement, all matters affecting the settlement were investigated and has made all efforts for the purpose of inducing the parties to come to legal, fair and amicable settlement of the said dispute. However, no such settlement could be arrived at in between the parties to the industrial dispute.

Whereas, undersigned while exercising the power vested as provided under subsection-5 of Section-12 of the Act ibid carefully examined the report and come to the conclusion that above ex-worker had raised the dispute of alleged illegal termination from the services w.e.f. 01-04-2006 before the above employer after delay of more than 9 years and has worked only from October, 2002 to 31-03-2006.

Therefore, in view of the above facts and circumstances, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February 2014 and as provided in Sub Section-1 of Section-10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) and keeping in view the latest judgments of the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla about the declining the references to the Labour Court for adjudication, formed an opinion to refer this dispute to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, for legal adjudication on following issue:-

“Whether alleged termination of the services of Smt. Babita Sharma W/O Shri Ashok Sharma, R/O V.P.O. Sullah, Tehsil Palampur, District Kangra, H.P. w.e.f. 01-04-2006 by and the Divisional Forest Officer, Palampur Forest Division, Palampur, District Kangra, H.P., without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as alleged by workman, is legal and justified; whereas she has raised the industrial dispute vide demand notice dated 11-05-2015 after lapse of more than 9 years. If not, keeping in view of working period from October, 2002 to 31-03-2006 and delay of more than 9 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management? ”

By order,
Sd/-

*Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.*

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, the 28th June, 2016*

No.: 11-1/85(Lab) ID/2016/Kangra.—Whereas the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra has submitted a report as provided under Section-12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 stating that there was an alleged industrial dispute in between Shri Kuldeep Singh S/O Shri Beer Singh, R/O Village Fatehpur Hada, Tehsil Fatehpur, District Kangra, H.P. and the Executive Engineer, Fatehpur Division, H.P.P.W.D. Fatehpur, District Kangra, H.P. as per demand notice dated on 07-08-2013 submitted by the said ex-worker regarding termination of his services.

Whereas, the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, has incorporated in the report that during the course of conciliation proceedings for the purpose of bringing about a legal and amicable settlement, all matters affecting the settlement were investigated and has made all efforts for the purpose of inducing the parties to come to legal, fair and amicable settlement of the said dispute. However, no such settlement could be arrived at in between the parties to the industrial dispute.

Whereas, undersigned while exercising the power vested as provided under sub- section-5 of Section-12 of the Act ibid carefully examined the report and come to the conclusion that above ex-worker had raised the dispute of alleged illegal termination from the services during February, 1985 before the above employer after delay of more than 28 years and has worked during years 1977 to February, 1985.

Therefore, in view of the above facts and circumstances, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as provided in Sub Section-1 of Section-10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) and keeping in view the latest judgments of the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla about the declining the references to the Labour Court for adjudication, formed an opinion to refer this dispute to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, for legal adjudication on following issue:—

“Whether alleged termination of the services of Shri Kuldeep Singh S/O Shri Beer Singh, R/O Village Fatehpur Hada, Tehsil Fatehpur, District Kangra, H.P. during February, 1985 by and the Executive Engineer, Fatehpur Division, H.P.P.W.D. Fatehpur, District Kangra, H.P., without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as alleged by workman, is legal and justified; whereas he has raised the industrial dispute vide demand notice dated 07-08-2013 after lapse of more than 28 years. If not, keeping in view of working period from year, 1977 to February, 1985 respectively and delay of more than 28 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above exworker is entitled to from the above employer? ”

By order,

Sd/-

*Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.*

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, the 14th June, 2016*

No.:11-23/84(Lab)ID/2016/Una.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Rajneesh Singh S/O Shri Kamal Singh, R/O Village Saloh, Sub Tehsil Ispur, District Una, H.P. and the Principal, D.A.V. Centenary Pubic School Una, District Una, H.P. on the issue of alleged termination of his services as security guard w.e.f. 13-06-2014.

As per the report under section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub section-5 of Section-12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether termination of the services of Shri Rajneesh Singh S/O Shri Kamal Singh, R/O Village Saloh, Sub Tehsil Ispur, District Una, H.P. w.e.f. 13-06-2014, who was employed as Security Guard by the Principal, D.A.V. Centenary Pubic School Una, District Una, H.P. without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employer?”

By order,
Sd/-

*Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.*

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, the 6th July, 2016*

No.:11-23/84(Lab)ID/2016/Una.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Avtar Singh, President, DFL Workers Union, Deepak Fastener Limited, Industrial Area, Tahliwal, District Una, H.P. and Employer/ Factory Manager, Deepak Fastener Limited, Industrial Area, Tahliwal, District Una, H.P. on the issue of miscellaneous demands.

As per the report under section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub section-5 of Section-12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/ Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/ issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether miscellaneous demands raised vide demand notices dated 26-03-2014 and 10-06-2014 (copy enclosed) by Shri Avtar Singh, President, DFL Workers Union, Deepak Fastener Limited, Industrial Area, Tahliwal, District Una, H.P. to be fulfilled by the Employer/ Factory Manager, Deepak Fastener Limited, Industrial Area, Tahliwal, District Una, H.P. are legal justified and maintainable? If yes, what relief and benefits the above workers are entitled to by the above Manager/ employer.”

By order,
Sd/-
Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 18th July, 2016

No.: 11-5/99(Lab) ID/2016/Chamba.—Whereas the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Chamba has submitted a report as provided under Section-12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 stating that there was an alleged industrial dispute in between Shri Baldev Singh S/O Shri Kanthu Ram, R/O Village Kuthal, P.O. Sach, Tehsil Killar, District Chamba, H.P. and the Executive Engineer, Killar Division, H.P.P.W.D., Killar (Pangi), District Chamba, H.P. as per demand notice dated 26-12-2007 submitted by the said ex-worker regarding time to time termination of his services.

Whereas, the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, has incorporated in the report that during the course of conciliation proceedings for the purpose of bringing about a legal and amicable settlement, all matters affecting the settlement were investigated and has made all efforts for the purpose of inducing the parties to come to legal, fair and amicable settlement of the said dispute. However, no such settlement could be arrived at in between the parties to the industrial dispute.

Whereas, undersigned while exercising the power vested as provided under sub- section-5 of Section-12 of the Act *ibid* carefully examined the report and come to the conclusion that above ex-worker had raised the dispute of alleged illegal time to time termination from the services during May, 1994 to 31-12-2001 before the above employer after delay of about 6 years.

Therefore, in view of the above facts and circumstances, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February 2014 and as provided in SubSection-1 of Section-10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) and keeping in view the latest judgments of the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla about the declining the references to the Labour Court for

adjudication, formed an opinion to refer this dispute to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, for legal adjudication on following issue:—

“Whether alleged time to time termination of services of Late Shri Baldev Singh S/O Shri Kanthu Ram, R/O Village Kuthal, P.O. Sach, Tehsil Killar, District Chamba, H.P. during May, 1994 to 31-12-2001 by the Executive Engineer, Killar Division, H.P.P.W.D., Killar (Pangi), District Chamba, H.P., who has worked as beldar on daily wages basis and has raised his industrial dispute after about 6 years vide demand notice dated 26-12-2007, allegedly without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view delay of about 6 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the legal heir of the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management? ”

By order,
Sd/-

*Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.*

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 13th July, 2016

No. 11-1/85(Lab) ID/2016-Kangra.—Whereas Shri Ashok Kumar S/O Shri Hakam Singh, R/O Village and Post Office Khanni, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated-nil-received in Labour Office, Dharamshala on 04.03.2013 regarding his illegal termination from the services by (1) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Nurpur, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (2) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. was considered, examined and Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that as per reply filed by the above employers worker has not worked with the employers No. (1) & (2) and had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 21 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 29.05.2015;

And whereas Shri Ashok Kumar S/O Shri Hakam Singh agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 751/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 7.4.2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

“3. In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.

4. The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any.”

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Ashok Kumar S/O Shri Hakam Singh, R/O Village and Post Office Khanni, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. during year, 1990 by (1) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Nurpur, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (2) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P., who had worked on daily wages and has raised his industrial dispute after more than 21 years vide demand notice dated-nil-received on 04.03.2013, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as alleged by the workman, is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of delay of more than 21 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?”

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 30 May, 2016

No. 11-1/85(Lab) ID/2016-Kangra.—Whereas Shri Ashok Kumar S/O Shri Hoshiyar Singh, R/O Village and Post Office Haddal, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated-nil-received in Labour Office, Dharamshala on 13.06.2011 regarding his illegal termination from the services by (1) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Nurpur, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (2) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. was considered, examined and Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that as per reply filed by the above employers worker has not

worked with the employers No. (1) & (2) and had raised the dispute at a belated stage of about 21 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 22.8.2013;

And whereas Shri Ashok Kumar S/O Shri Hoshiyar Singh agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 784/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 7.4.2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

"3. In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.

4. The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any."

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

"Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Ashok Kumar S/O Shri Hoshiyar Singh, R/O Village and Post Office Haddal, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. during year, 1990 by (1) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Nurpur, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (2) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P., who had worked on daily wages and has raised his industrial dispute after about 21 years vide demand notice dated-nil-received on 13.06.2011, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as alleged by the workman, is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of delay of about 21 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?"

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 18th May, 2016

No. 11-1/85(Lab) ID/2016-Kangra.—Whereas Shri Ashok Kumar S/O Shri Charan Singh, R/O Village Dadheru, P.O. Sulyali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 23.7.2011 regarding his illegal termination from the services by the Executive

Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, District Kangra, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. was considered, examined and Deputy Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 22 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 5.11.2014;

And whereas Shri Ashok Kumar S/O Shri Charan Singh agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 440/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 8.3.2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

“3. In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.

4. The writ petition is disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any.”

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006- IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Ashok Kumar S/O Shri Charan Singh, R/O Village Dadheru, P.O. Sulyali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. during April, 1989 by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, District Kangra, H.P., who had worked as beldar on daily wages for 24 days during year, 1986, for 137 days during year, 1987, for 236 days during year, 1988 and for 31 days during year, 1989 respectively and has raised his industrial dispute after more than 22 years vide demand notice dated 23.7.2011, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified ? If not, keeping in view of working period of 24 days during year, 1986, 137 days during year, 1987, for 236 days during year, 1988 and for 31 days during year, 1989 respectively and delay of more than 22 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?”

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, the 11 April, 2016*

No. 11-5/99(Lab) ID/2016-Chamba.—Whereas Shri Bajro S/O Shri Chetu, R/O Village Angari, P.O. Preena, Tehsil and District Chamba, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 30.05.2011 regarding his illegal termination from the services by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Bharmour, District Chamba, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P. was considered, examined and Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 8 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 16.1.2013;

And whereas Shri Bajro S/O Shri Chetu agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 155/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 23.2.2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

“3. In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.

4. The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any.”

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Bajro S/O Shri Chetu, R/O Village Angari, P.O. Preena, Tehsil and District Chamba, H.P. during February, 2003 by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Bharmour, District Chamba, H.P., who had worked as beldar on daily wages and has raised his industrial dispute after more than 8 years vide demand notice dated 30.05.2011, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of delay of more than 8 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?”

By order,
Sd/-

*Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.*

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, the 1st July, 2016*

No. 11-1/85(Lab) ID/2016-Kangra.—Whereas Shri Balwant Singh S/O Shri Jamito Ram, R/O and Post Office Aundh, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated-nilreceived in Labour Office, Dharamshala on 13.06.2011 regarding his illegal termination from the services by (1) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Nurpur, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (2) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. was considered, examined and Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 24 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 13.09.2013;

And whereas Shri Balwant Singh S/O Shri Jamito Ram agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 785/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 7.4.2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

“3. In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.

4. The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any.”

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Balwant Singh S/O Shri Jamito Ram, R/O and Post Office Aundh, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. during October, 1986 by (1) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Nurpur, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (2) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P., who had worked on daily wages and has raised his industrial dispute after more than 24 years vide demand notice dated-nil-received on 13.06.2011, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of delay of more than 24 years in

raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?"

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001 11, the April, 2016

No. 11-5/99(Lab) ID/2016-Chamba.—Whereas Smt. Begmu W/O Shri Bishamber Nath, R/O Village and Post Office Purthi, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 7.5.2012 regarding her illegal termination from the services by the Executive Engineer, I.P.H./H.P.P.W.D. Division, Killar, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P. was considered, examined and Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 6 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 28.10.2013;

And whereas Smt. Begmu W/O Shri Bishamber Nath agitated the above orders of declining of reference of her industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 195/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 24.02.2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

"3. In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.

4. The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any."

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006- IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether alleged termination of services of Smt. Begmu W/O Shri Bishamber Nath, R/O Village and Post Office Purthi, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. during October, 2005 by the Executive Engineer, I.P.H. /H.P.P.W.D. Division, Killar, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P., who had worked as beldar on daily wages and has raised her industrial dispute after more than 6 years vide demand notice dated 07.05.2012, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of delay of more than 6 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer /management?”

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 11 April, 2016

No. 11-5/99(Lab) ID/2016-Chamba.—Whereas Smt. Filmi Devi W/O Shri Tek Chand, R/O Village and Post Office Purthi, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 7.5.2012 regarding her illegal termination from the services by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Killar, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum- Conciliation Officer, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P. was considered, examined and Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 8 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 28.10.2013;

And whereas Smt. Filmi Devi W/O Shri Tek Chand agitated the above orders of declining of reference of her industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 195/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 24.02.2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

“3. In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.

4. The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any.”

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006- IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether alleged termination of services of Smt. Filmi Devi W/O Shri Tek Chand, R/O Village and Post Office Purhti, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P. during June, 2003 by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Killar, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P., who had worked as beldar on daily wages for 21 days during year, 2001, 63 days during year, 2002 and 16 days during year, 2003 respectively and has raised his industrial dispute after more than 8 years vide demand notice dated 07.05.2012, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified ? If not, keeping in view of working period of 21 days during year, 2001, 63 days during year, 2002 and 16 days during year, 2003 respectively and delay of more than 8 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?”

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

निर्वाचन विभाग

अधिसूचना

शिमला-171009, 3 जुलाई, 2017

संख्या 3-1/2017-ई.एल.एन.-II.—भारत निर्वाचन आयोग की अधिसूचना संख्या: 479/16/2017, दिनांक 3 जुलाई, 2017 तदनुसार 12 आषाढ़ 1939 (शक) जो कि राष्ट्रपतीय निर्वाचन, 2017 के मतों की गणना से सम्बन्धित है, को अंग्रेजी रूपान्तर सहित जनसाधारण की सूचना हेतु पुनः प्रकाशित किया जाता है।

आदेश से,
मुख्य निर्वाचन अधिकारी,
हिमाचल प्रदेश।

भारत निर्वाचन आयोग

निर्वाचन सदन, अशोक रोड़, नई दिल्ली-171001

तारीख: 3 जुलाई, 2017
12 आषाढ़, 1939 (शक)

अधिसूचना

संख्या 479/16/2017.— राष्ट्रपतीय और उपराष्ट्रपतीय निर्वाचन नियम, 1974 के नियम 27 के अनुसरण में भारत निर्वाचन आयोग 20 जुलाई, 2017 (गुरुवार) को ऐसे दिन के रूप में जिस दिन और उस

दिन के 11:00 बजे पूर्वाह्न का समय उस समय के रूप में नियत करता है, जिस समय पर, राष्ट्रपतीय निर्वाचन, 2017 के मतों की गणना नई दिल्ली में रिटर्निंग आफिसर के कार्यालय के कक्ष सं0 62, संसद भवन, नई दिल्ली में की जाएगी।

आदेश से,
वरिन्दर कुमार
प्रधान सचिव।

ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA

Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi-110001

Dated: 3rd July, 2017
Ashadha 12, 1939 (Saka)

NOTIFICATION

No. 479/16/2017.—In pursuance of rule 27 of the Presidential and Vice-Presidential Elections Rules, 1974, the Election Commission of India hereby appoints the 20th July, 2017 (Thursday) as the day on which, and 11:00 A.M. of that day as the time at which, the counting of votes, for the Presidential Election, 2017, shall take place at the office of the Returning Officer in Room No. 62, Parliament House, New Delhi.

By order
VARINDER KUMAR
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY.

In the Court of Arindam Chaudhary, IAS, Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Hamirpur (H. P.)

In the matter of :

Surinder Kumar aged 25 years s/o Shri Battan Singh, r/o Village Bugdhar, P.O. Chabutra, Tehsil Sujanpur, District Hamirpur (H.P.)

and

Kamini aged 22 years d/o Shri Hari Krishan, r/o Village Bugdhar, P.O. Chabutra, Tehsil Sujanpur, District Hamirpur (H.P.) . . Applicants

Versus

General Public

Subject.— Notice under Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Surinder Kumar and Kamini have filed an application u/s 16 of Special Marriage Act, 1954 alongwith affidavit and other supported documents in the court of undersigned in which they stated that they solemnized marriage on 28-02-2017.

Therefore, the General Public is hereby informed through this notice that any person who has any objection for this marriage can file the objection personally or in writing before this court on or before 10-07-2017. The objection received after 10-07-2017 will not be entertained and marriage will be registered accordingly.

Issued today on 08-06-2017 under my hand and seal of the court.

Seal.

Sd/-

*Marriage Officer-cum-Sub Divisional Magistrate,
Hamirpur (H.P.).*

**In the Court of Shri S. K. Prashar, HAS, Marriage Officer-cum-Sub Divisional
Officer(c), Bhoranj, District Hamirpur (HP)**

1. Ravi Kumar s/o Suhru Ram, r/o Village Lajhyani, P.O. Nagrota Gazian,, Tehsil Bhoranj, District Hamirpur, H.P.
2. Smt. Mahalaxmi d/o Dev Narayan, r/o C 4250, Pooth Kalan Nithari Sultanpuri, C Block North West Delhi, Delhi-110086.

Versus

General Public

Subject.—Application for the registration of marriage under section 16 of Special Marriage Act, 1954 (Central Act) as amended by Marriage Laws (Amendment Act 01, 49 of 2001).

Shri Ravi Kumar s/o Suhru Ram, r/o Village Lajhyani, P.O. Nagrota Gazian,, Tehsil Bhoranj, District Hamirpur, H.P. and Smt. Mahalaxmi d/o Dev Narayan, r/o C 4250, Pooth Kalan Nithari Sultanpuri, C Block North West Delhi, Delhi-110086 have filed an application alongwith affidavits in this court under section 16 of Special Marriage Act, 1954 (Central Act) as amended by the Marriage Laws (Amendment Act 01, 49 of 2001) that they have solemnized their marriage ceremony on 21-01-2017 at Awah Devi Mata Temple, Awah Devi, Tehsil Tauni Devi, District Hamirpur as per Hindu Rites and Customs and they are living together as husband and wife since then. Hence their marriage may be registered under Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Therefore, the general public is hereby informed through this notice that any person who has any objections regarding this marriage can file the objections personally or in writing before this court on or before 14-07-2017. After that no objections will be entertained and marriage will be registered accordingly.

Issued today on 08-06-2017 under my hand and seal of the court.

Seal.

Sd/-

*Marriage Officer-cum-Sub Divisional Magistrate,
Bhoranj, District Hamirpur, H.P.*

**In the Court of Vijay Kumar Dhiman, HAS, Marriage Officer-cum-Sub Divisional Magistrate,
Sujanpur, District Hamirpur (H. P.)-176110**

1. Shri Desh Raj aged 53 years s/o Shri Murli Ram, r/o Ward No. 8 Sujanpur, Tehsil Sujanpur, District Hamirpur, HP.
2. Seema Devi aged 41 years d/o Shri Durlabh Havaladar, r/o H. No. C-30 Vasundhara New Ashok Nagar, Vasundhara Enclave East Delhi-11006.

Versus

General Public

Application for the registration of marriage under section 16 of Special Marriage Act, 1954 (Central Act) as amended by Marriage Laws (Amendment Act 01, 49 of 2001).

Shri Desh Raj aged 53 years s/o Shri Murli Ram, r/o Ward No. 8 Sujanpur, Tehsil Sujanpur, District Hamirpur, HP. and Seema Devi aged 41 years d/o Shri Durlabh Havaladar, r/o H. No. C-30 Vasundhara New Ashok Nagar, Vasundhara Enclave East Delhi-96 have filed an application alongwith affidavits in this court under section 16 of Special Marriage Act, 1954 (Central Act) as amended by marriage Laws (Amendment act 01, 49 of 2001) that they have solemnized their Marriage ceremony on 15-04-2017 at Jawala Ji Mata Mandir Jawalamukhi, District Kangra, HP as per Hindu Rites and Customs and they are living together as husband and wife since then. Hence their marriage may be registered under Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Therefore, the general public is hereby informed through this notice that any person who has any objections regarding this marriage can file the objections personally or in writing before this court on or before 21-07-2017. After that no objections will be entertained and marriage will be registered accordingly.

Issued today on 15-06-2017 under my hand and seal of the court.

Seal.

Sd/-

*Marriage Officer-cum-Sub Divisional Magistrate,
Sujanpur, District Hamirpur (H.P.).*

ब अदालत विवाह पंजीकरण अधिकारी, बड़सर, उप-मण्डल बड़सर, जिला हमीरपुर, हि० प्र०

1. Amarjit aged 40 years s/o Shri Prakash Chand, r/o Village Raggar Rajputan, P.O. Batarli, Tehsil Barsar, District Hamirpur (H.P.).
2. Sujata Kumari aged 34 years d/o Shri Prem Chand, r/o Village Gopalnagar, P. O. Daruhi, Tehsil & District Hamirpur (H.P.) प्रार्थी

बनाम

आम जनता

प्रतिवादी

आम जनता को सूचित किया जाता है कि प्रार्थी एक व दो ने इस न्यायालय में विवाह पंजीकरण करवाने का आवेदन किया है। अतः इस इशतहार द्वारा आम जनता व उपरोक्त आवेदनकर्ता के माता-पिता को

इस विवाह के पंजीकरण बारे एतराज हो तो दिनांक 26-07-2017 या इससे पूर्व प्रातः 10.00 बजे तक इस न्यायालय में आपत्ति दर्ज करवा सकते हैं। इस तिथि के बाद कोई उजर स्वीकार नहीं किया जावेगा।

आज दिनांक 13-06-2017 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर एवं मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी किया गया।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/—
विवाह पंजीकरण अधिकारी,
बड़सर, उप-मण्डल बड़सर, जिला हमीरपुर, हि0 प्र0।

ब अदालत जनाब सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी एवं नायब तहसीलदार, चढियार
जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0

मुकद्दमा संख्या : 11/NT/2017

तारीख दायरा : 08-03-2017

श्री लाल सिंह पुत्र श्री प्रसिन्दा राम, निवासी गांव दरुग, डाकघर दरुग, उप-तहसील चढियार, जिला कांगड़ा (हि0 प्र0) प्रार्थी

बनाम

आम जनता

प्रत्यार्थी

श्री लाल सिंह पुत्र श्री प्रसिन्दा राम, निवासी गांव दरुग, डाकघर दरुग, उप-तहसील चढियार, जिला कांगड़ा (हि0 प्र0) ने इस कार्यालय/न्यायालय में प्रार्थना-पत्र पेश किया है कि मेरा नाम आधार कार्ड, परिवार रजिस्टर व स्कूल प्रमाण पत्र में सही नाम लाल सिंह दर्ज है परन्तु राजस्व अभिलेख महाल दरुग में इन्तकाल तस्दीक करते समय मेरा नाम लाल चन्द दर्ज है जो कि गलत है। आवेदक अपना नाम राजस्व अभिलेख महाल दरुग में सही नाम लाल सिंह पुत्र प्रसिन्दा राम, निवासी गांव ननवाड, डाकघर ननवाड, उप-तहसील चढियार, जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0 दर्ज करवाना चाहता है।

अतः आम जनता को इस राजपत्र इश्तहार के माध्यम से सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारे किसी को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 12-07-2017 को अधोहस्ताक्षरी की अदालत में असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर होकर अपना पक्ष रख सकते हैं। गैर हाजिरी की सूरत में एक तरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी।

आज दिनांक 31-05-2017 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/—,
सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी,
चढियार, जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0।

कार्यालय नायब तहसीलदार कोटला एवं सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी, उप-तहसील कोटला

श्री प्रेम चन्द राणा

बनाम

आम जनता

विषय.—प्रार्थना-पत्र बराये नाम दरुस्ती।

नोटिस :

सर्वसाधारण आम जनता को इस नोटिस द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि श्री प्रेम चन्द राणा पुत्र बसन्त सिंह, निवासी खैरियां, उप तहसील कोटला, जिला कांगड़ा ने इस कार्यालय में प्रार्थना पत्र मय शपथ पत्र सहित मुकद्दमा दायर किया है कि मेरा नाम आधार कार्ड व रजिस्टर परिवार तथा स्कूल प्रमाण पत्र में प्रेम चन्द राणा दर्ज है जो कि सही है। जबकि मेरा नाम राजस्व अभिलेख महाल खैरियां में प्रेम सिंह दर्ज है जो कि गलत है। इस इशतहार के द्वारा समस्त जनता तथा सम्बन्धित सम्बन्धियों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को उपर्युक्त नाम दुरुस्ती बारे कोई एतराज हो तो वह अपना एतराज हमारी अदालत में दिनांक 07-07-2017 को असालतन या वकालतन आकर अपना एतराज पेश कर सकता है अन्यथा मुताविक शपथ पत्र नाम दुरुस्ती बारे आदेश पारित कर दिये जाएंगे।

आज दिनांक 06-06-2017 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी किया गया।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/—
नायब तहसीलदार एवं सहायक समाहर्ता,
कोटला, जिला कांगड़ा।

**ब अदालत सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी सैंज,
जिला कुल्लू, हिमाचल प्रदेश**

श्री नन्द राम पुत्र श्री भगत राम, निवासी गांव धारठा, डा0 बजाहरा, उप-तहसील सैंज, जिला कुल्लू,
हिमाचल प्रदेश वादी।

बनाम

आम जनता

विषय.—ग्राम पंचायत रिकार्ड में शादी इन्द्राज किए जाने बारे।

सर्वसाधारण को सूचित किया जाता है कि श्री नन्द राम पुत्र श्री भगत राम, निवासी गांव धारठा, डा0 बजाहरा, उप-तहसील सैंज, जिला कुल्लू ने प्रार्थना पत्र अधोहस्ताक्षरी के कार्यालय में प्रस्तुत किया है कि प्रार्थी ने दिनांक 25-3-2015 को श्रीमती सवीना भारती पुत्री श्री खुशी राम पुत्र श्री वेद राम, निवासी बालाबेहड़, फाटी ढालपुत्र, कोठी महाराजा, तहसील व जिला कुल्लू से शादी की है। इन की शादी का इन्द्राज पंचायत रिकार्ड देहुरीधार, उप-तहसील सैंज, जिला कुल्लू में न है। प्रार्थी ने इस सम्बन्ध में एक शपथपत्र भी इस सम्बन्ध में प्रस्तुत किया है।

अतः इस इशतहार द्वारा सर्वसाधारण को सूचित किया जाता है कि इस सम्बन्ध में किसी भी व्यक्ति को किसी प्रकार की आपत्ति हो तो वह असालतन या वकालतन अपनी आपत्ति इस न्यायालय में दिनांक 13-7-2017 तक या इससे पूर्व प्रस्तुत कर सकता है। अन्यथा इसका इन्द्राज ग्राम पंचायत रिकार्ड देहुरीधार, उप-तहसील सैंज, जिला कुल्लू में करवा दिया जाएगा।

आज दिनांक 13-6-17 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/—
सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
सैंज, जिला कुल्लू।

**ब अदालत सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी/कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, उप-तहसील सैज,
जिला कुल्लू, हि0 प्र0**

तापे राम आयु 56 साल पुत्र श्री नहिन्दू राम, निवासी गांव शियाउगी, डाकघर धाउगी, उप-तहसील सैज, जिला कुल्लू, हिमाचल प्रदेश प्रार्थी।

बनाम

आम जनता

विषय.—ग्राम पंचायत रिकार्ड में हि0 प्र0 जन्म एवं मृत्यु अधिनियम के तहत पुत्री का नाम व जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने बारे।

सर्व साधारण को सूचित किया जाता है कि तापे राम आयु 56 साल, पुत्र श्री नहिन्दू राम, निवासी गांव शियाउगी, डा0 धाउगी, उप-तहसील सैज, जिला कुल्लू, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस अदालत में एक दरखास्त गुजारी है कि उसकी पुत्री पिंगला देवी का नाम व जन्म तिथि जोकि दिनांक 15 जनवरी, 2010 है, ग्राम पंचायत धाउगी के जन्म रजिस्टर/परिवार रजिस्टर में दर्ज नहीं है। जिसे दर्ज करने बारे एक शपथ पत्र भी प्रार्थी द्वारा प्रस्तुत किया गया है। प्रार्थी ने पुत्री का नाम व जन्म तिथि पंचायत उपरोक्त के रिकार्ड में दर्ज करने की प्रार्थना की है।

अतः इस इशतहार द्वारा सर्वसाधारण को सूचित किया जाता है कि इस सम्बन्ध में किसी भी व्यक्ति को किसी प्रकार की आपत्ति हो तो वह असालतन या वकालतन अपनी आपत्ति इस न्यायालय में दिनांक 13-07-2017 या इससे पूर्व प्रस्तुत कर सकता है अन्यथा इसका इन्द्राज ग्राम पंचायत धाउगी, उप-तहसील सैज के रिकार्ड में करवा दिया जाएगा।

आज दिनांक 13-06-2017 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/—

सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी/कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
उप-तहसील सैज, जिला कुल्लू, हि0 प्र0।

अदालती इशतहार

**ब अदालत श्री कपिल तोमर, कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी (तहसीलदार) राजगढ़,
जिला सिरमौर (हि0 प्र0)**

श्री सतीश कुमार पुत्र श्री रमेश कुमार, निवासी थड़ा, डा0 दाहन, तहसील राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर, हि0 प्र0।

बनाम

आम जनता

उपरोक्त प्रार्थना-पत्र श्री सतीश कुमार पुत्र श्री रमेश कुमार, निवासी थड़ा, डा0 दाहन, तहसील राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर, हि0 प्र0 ने आवेदन पत्र प्रस्तुत करके प्रार्थना की है कि उसकी जन्म तिथि 25-4-1987 है, परन्तु ग्राम पंचायत दाहन के रिकार्ड में 26-8-1987 दर्ज की गई है जो कि गलत है। इसलिए आवेदनकर्ता ने निवेदन किया है कि ग्राम पंचायत दाहन, तहसील राजगढ़ के रिकार्ड में जन्म तिथि 25-4-1987 सही दर्ज की जाए। जिसे प्रार्थी अब दर्ज करवाना चाहता है।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इशतहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस सम्बन्ध में यदि किसी व्यक्ति को उजर या एतराज हो तो वह स्वयं अथवा अपने प्रतिनिधि द्वारा मिति 13-07-2017 को सुबह दस बजे अदालत में उपस्थित आकर एतराज प्रस्तुत करे, बसूरत दीगर श्री सतीश कुमार पुत्र रमेश कुमार की सही जन्म तिथि को दर्ज करने के आदेश जारी कर दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 13-06-2017 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

कपिल तोमर,
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
(तहसीलदार) राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर, हि0 प्र0।

अदालती इशतहार

ब अदालत श्री कपिल तोमर, कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी (तहसीलदार) राजगढ़,
जिला सिरमौर (हि0 प्र0)

श्रीमती पुष्पा देवी पत्नी श्री शमशेर सिंह, निवासी शाया छबरोन, तहसील राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर,
हि0 प्र0।

बनाम

आम जनता

उपरोक्त प्रार्थना-पत्र श्रीमती पुष्पा देवी पत्नी श्री शमशेर सिंह, निवासी शाया छबरोन, तहसील राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर, हि0 प्र0 ने आवेदन पत्र प्रस्तुत करके प्रार्थना की है कि उसका नाम ग्राम पंचायत नेरी कोटली, तहसील राजगढ़ में शालिनी दर्ज किया गया है जो कि गलत है क्योंकि उसका वास्तविक नाम पुष्पादेवी है इसलिए वादिया ने निवेदन किया है कि ग्राम पंचायत नेरी कोटली, तहसील राजगढ़ स्कूल शिक्षा प्रमाण पत्र के मुताबिक उसका नाम पुष्पादेवी दर्ज किया जाए।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इशतहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस सम्बन्ध में यदि किसी व्यक्ति को उजर या एतराज हो तो वह स्वयं अथवा अपने प्रतिनिधि द्वारा मिति 13-07-2017 को सुबह दस बजे अदालत में उपस्थित आकर एतराज प्रस्तुत करे, बसूरत दीगर श्रीमती पुष्पादेवी पत्नी शमशेर सिंह का सही नाम दर्ज करने के आदेश जारी कर दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 13-06-2017 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

कपिल तोमर,
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
(तहसीलदार) राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर, हि0 प्र0।

**ब अदालत जनाब चन्द्रमोहन चौहान, नायब तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
उप-तहसील नारग, जिला सिरमौर, हि0 प्र0**

श्री जिया लाल पुत्र श्री जोगेन्द्र सिंह, निवासी ग्राम चब्योगा बझेर, डा0 सरसू, उप-तहसील नारग, जिला सिरमौर, हि0 प्र0।

बनाम

आम जनता

दरखास्त जेरधारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969.

श्री जिया लाल पुत्र श्री जोगेन्द्र सिंह, निवासी ग्राम चब्योगा बझेर, डा0 सरसू, उप-तहसील नारग, जिला सिरमौर, हि0 प्र0 ने इस अदालत में प्रार्थना-पत्र मय शपथ पत्र गुजारा है कि उसकी पुत्री कु0 करिश्मा देवी का जन्म दिनांक 27-03-2012 को हुआ है, जिसका इन्द्राज ग्राम पंचायत द्राबली, उप-तहसील नारग, जिला सिरमौर में दर्ज नहीं हुआ है।

अतः इस इशतहार द्वारा हर आम व खास को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को उक्त नाम या तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में दर्ज करने बारे कोई एतराज हो तो दिनांक 17-07-2017 को या इससे पूर्व अदालत में हाजिर होकर अपना एतराज पेश कर सकता है अन्यथा सचिव, ग्राम पंचायत को सम्बन्धित उक्त नाम व जन्म तिथि दर्ज करने बारे आदेश जारी कर दिए जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 13-06-2017 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

चन्द्रमोहन चौहान,
नायब तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
उप-तहसील नारग, जिला सिरमौर, हि0 प्र0।

In the Court of Collector, District Solan, H.P.

Case No.
13/13 of 2013

Instituted on
30.10.2013

State of Himachal Pradesh

v/s

M/S Optima Construction Pvt. Ltd.

Notice.—Proceedings U/s 118 of H.P. Tenancy and Land Reforms Act, 1972.

WHEREAS, a case for violation of Section 118 of Himachal Pradesh Tenancy & and Reform Act, 1972 has been instituted against M/S Optima Construction Pvt. Ltd., Office at 1162 Sector-13, Urban Estate Karnal (Haryana) through its Director, Sh. Chander Parkash, s/o Sh. Shankar Dass, who has purchased the land comprised in Khasra No's. 60/4, 61/4, 68/6, 69/6, 70/6, 71/6, 72/6, 72/14, 73/14, 74/14, 75/25, 78/26, 27, 28, 29, 63/30, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 43, 52, 8, 79/31, 80/31, kita-29 measuring 108-16 Mutation No. 92-93-94-95-96-97 dated: 28-03-2011, in favour of M/S Optima Construction Pvt. Ltd situated in Mauja Banani, Tehsil Kasauli, District Solan (H.P) from the permission of Government of H.P vide letter No Rev-B(F)(10)-94/2011 dated 16-03-2011 for establishing a housing colony. The summons sent to the Respondent for his evidence on available address has been received un-served with the report that the above

respondent is not residing at the given address. Thus the service of summon cannot be affected in an ordinary way.

NOW THEREFORE, this publication under order 5 Rule 20 CPC is hereby issued to the M/S White Water Beverages Pvt. Limited, who are informed through this notice that they should appear in this court on 05-08-2017 at 2:00 PM personally or through counsel failing which the ex-parte proceeding shall be taken according to law.

Given, under my hand and the seal of this court on 30th day of June, 2017.

Sd/-
District Collector,
Solan. District Solan H.P.

In the Court of Collector, District Solan, H.P.

Case No.
09/13 of 2017

Instituted on
29.03.2017

State of Himachal Pradesh

Versus

M/S White Water Beverages Pvt.
Limited, Mauja Doduwala, Tehsil
Baddi, District Solan Himachal
Pradesh.

Notice.—Proceedings U/s 118 of H.P. Tenancy and Land Reforms Act, 1972.

WHEREAS, a case for violation of Section 118 of Himachal Pradesh Tenancy & Land Reform Act, 1972 has been instituted against M/S White Water Beverages Pvt. Limited, Mauja Doduwala, Tehsil Baddi, District Solan Himachal Pradesh, who has purchased the land comprised in Khasra No's. 5, 6, 7, 8, Kita 4 **measuring 11-7 bighas** situated in mauja Doduwala, Tehsil, Baddi and District Solan, Himachal Pradesh with the permission of H.P. Government vide letter no. Rev. B.F.(10)-118/98 dated 21.07.1998 for established a industry unit. The summons sent on available address have been received un-served with the report that the above respondents are not residing at the given address. Thus the service of summon cannot be affected in an ordinary way.

NOW THEREFORE, this publication under order 5 Rule 20 CPC is hereby issued to the M/S White Water Beverages Pvt. Limited, who are informed through this notice that they should appear in this court on **05-08-2017 at 2:00 PM** personally or through counsel failing which the ex-parte proceeding shall be taken according to law.

Given, under my hand and the seal of this court on 30th day of June, 2017.

Sd/-
District Collector,
Solan. District Solan H.P.