



(3783)

EUGÁ@á, a@aÁi

C,ÆZE

AS: ECE 147 AD, Á 2017, AU/Æg, EÁAP : 15-06-2018.

PEÁÐIP EUG ávÁ UáíÁAvg ÁiÁdÉÁ PÁiÁ 1961g P@A 4(©) C rÁiÁ° ¥zvÁZ CFPÁgzEÁiÁ AU/Æg-
 á,ÆgÁ EÉiÁ, Pgí PÁj qÁgí ¥zÁ± ÁiÁdÉÁ ¥ÁCPÁgz áÁiÁi°gÁ AU/ÆgÁ zQt VÁ@EPÁ, AU/ÆgÁ °EÁ½,
 AU/ÆgÁ UÁÁZ, áð ÉA. 33/1 g°E 1 JPg 23 UÁAm, 34/3 g°E 1 JPg 38 UÁAm ávÁ 34/4J g°E 13 UÁAm, MÍÁ 3 JPg
 34 UÁAm d«ÁÁEÉÁ AU/ÆgÁ-á,ÆgÁ EÉiÁ, Pgí PÁj qÁgí ¥zÁ± ÁiÁdÉÁ ¥ÁCPÁgz áÁiÁi°gÁ »A¥qzÁ PEÁÐIP
 EUG ávÁ UáíÁAvg ÁiÁdÉÁ 1961g P@A 4(J) gEÁiÁ, zj d«ÁÁEÉÁ AU/ÆgÁ C@á, ¥ÁCPÁgz ÁiÁdÉÁ áÁiÁi°gÁ
 ,Á¥ðq áíÁr C,Æa, ÁVz.

P.R. 495

SC-100

PEÁÐIP gáðivÁg DzÁ±ÁEÁ, Ág ávÁ Cag °, jE°,

P.J, i. dUÁ±gr

,PÁðgz CÆE PÁiÁðz²ð,

EUGÁ@á, EÁS.

vEÁI UÁJP ávÁ gÁµi, a@aÁi

C,ÆZE

AS: vEÁE 12 gÁG 2018, AU/Æg, EÁAP : 08-06-2018.

PEÁÐIP gÁµi GzÁáU¼ µUÁ µÁiÁ«Ávz CFPÁgÁvg µzÁð±PgÁU¼ C@ÁiÁ F PÆqÁ eÁjU SgÁAv
 PÆEUÁVz.

P.R. 498

SC-10

PEÁÐIP gáðivÁg DzÁ±ÁEÁ, Ág ávÁ Cag °, jE°,

JA.©. «dÁiÁiÁiÁgí

,PÁðgz CÆE PÁiÁðz²ð,

vEÁI UÁJP ávÁ gÁµi EÁS (gÁµi).

IAZt, t G½vÁiÁ ávÁ D¹- IÁt µáð°u µzÁð±EÁ@Ái

wzÁ¥r DzÁ±

AS: IAD (¹²) 15/2017-18, AU/Æg, EÁAP : 13-04-2018.

µÁiÁ : , áÇÁðZ ÉÁiÁiÁ@ÁiÁZ EÁAP : 09-02-2017g wÁ!ðEÉÁi ¥jµj¹z , °ÁiÁP
 µzÁð±Pg áíÁzz CAwª eÁµvÁ ¥nU wzÁ¥r

- GÁR :
1. ,PÁðgz Éqª, ASi : r!JDgí 182 J, iDgí Dgí 2011, EÁAP : 06-05-2017.
 2. ,PÁðgz ¥v ASi : DE 51 , áÁiÁ 2018, EÁAP : 28-03-2018.
EÁAP : 13-04-2018.
 3. µzÁð±EÁ@ÁiÁ , á, ASi : C.eÁ EÁAP : 21-12-2017 ávÁ 12-03-2018.
 4. ,PÁðgz , ÁvEÁ, ASi : ¹D, ÁE 639 , É 2017, EÁAP : 04-04-2018.
 5. ,PÁðgz , ÁvEÁ, ASi : áÁPÁ/500/2018, EÁAP : 13-04-2018.

GÁR (5)g ,PÁðgz , ÁvEÁ-ÁiÁ°, áÇÁZ ÉÁiÁiÁ@ÁiÁ EÁAP : 09-02-2017g wÁ!ðEÉÁi ¥jµj¹z CAwª
 eÁµvÁ ¥nU wzÁ¥r áíÁq@Á CªPÁ± P°¹gÁvz. CzgAv EÁAP : 21-12-2017 ávÁ 12-03-2018gAzÁ ¥ZÁg¥r, Áz
 , °ÁiÁP µzÁð±Pg áíÁzz áíÁ, gí PÁqgí CAwª eÁµvÁ ¥nÁiÁ° Pª, ASi : 84 (°íZÁ Ázi-PEÁÐIP ¥ÁZÁ²P , ½ÁiÁ
 áíÁzz , °ÁiÁP µzÁð±Pg eÁµvÁ ¥n Pª, ASi : 5) ávÁ 86g JzÁjVgª «»ASr áq «ÁPÁVgÁvz» JAS ¥zªEÁ
 vUZÁ°ÁQz.

P.R. 499

SC-10

µzÁð±PgÁ,

IAZt, t G½vÁiÁ ávÁ D¹- IÁt µáð°u,

AU/Æg.

DyðP, a@aÁi

C,ÆZE

ASi : DE 194 CRE 2017, AU/Æg, EÁAP : 11-06-2018.

C,ÆZE ,A. DE 194 CRE 2017(1) EÁAP : 10-01-2018g CEÁSAzz°E Pª, A. 201g ÉAvg F PªPAq
 °, gÁU¼ÉÁ PªPAqAv eÁµv PªiÁAPz°, Á¥ðq áíÁr EÁAP : 10-01-2018 g°AzÁ eÁjU SÁÇgªAv «Á«¹ wÇ
 NCPÆ¼vPzÁ.

P A.	rDgí/ Dgí	eÁµvÁ P. A.	CΦPÁjAiÁ °.gÁ	ŷ°Uð	°Ánz ΦEÁAP	Á°U Ájz ΦEÁAP	ŷ.Áv áAZPí EÁ°ÁP/Sr °EACz ΦEÁAP	ÁEŷEí C°ðvÁ ΦEÁAP
1	Š r	201J	Ĵ. EAΦα	ŷ.eÁ.	19-01-1966	01-04-1997	28-04-2006	01-08-2005
2	Š r	242J	Dzŷ (°É.P.)	-	01-06-1974	10-05-2006	04-02-2015	04-02-2015
3.	Š r	247	°jEÁx “ÁŠÁ (°É.P.)		02-05-1968	17-05-2006	04-02-2015	04-02-2015
4.	Š r	255J	°E°S/E© (°É.P.)		01-06-1966	09-05-2006	04-02-2015	04-02-2015
5.	Š r	261J	°Zí. CEÁ, /EÁiÁ (°É.P.)		01-06-1957	22-12-1983	04-02-2015	04-02-2015

“ÁÁAZÁ jZÁ PÁÁ A. 248 g°gÁ 2Á Dgí. vÁE/ÁÁÁEwð E°gÁ, °ÁÁiÁP ReÁEÁCPÁj áEzZ°gÁáZjAz C°g °.gEÁ Pí ©qvPíZÁ.

P.R. 500

SC-10

PEÁÐIP gÁdiŷÁ@g DeÁEÁ.Ág áÁvÁ C°g °.jE°,

f. ±²zgí

PAðgz CΦÁE PÁÁiðZ²ð,

DyðP E°ÁS (Dq½v áÁvÁ áÁÁUqU½Á).

Cgtí E°ÁS

CΦÁPv CΦPÁjU½Á °ÁUE Gŷ Cgtí AgPíuÁCPÁj, °½ÁiiÁ¼ «“ÁU, °½ÁiiÁ¼

ASí. 12/UÁE/°Á°E/°ÁÁIÁUÁEÁ/°Dgí-19/2017-18, ΦEÁAP: 14-02-2018.

ŷPíu

«µÁiÁ: CEΦÁPv°ÁV P½, ÁUÁtPAiÁ° vEqVZí áÁ°EU½EÁ áÁÁIÁUÁEÁ/°
°AQP/°Á° PÁjvÁ.

°½ÁiiÁ¼ «“ÁUz° CEΦÁPv°ÁV áÁgÁÁIÁU½ P½, ÁUÁtP áíÁqÁwZí, áÁÁiÁz° UÁEÁiÁ° M½UÁEaq F P½VE áÁ°EU½EÁ dŷ áíÁr PEÁÐIP Cgtí PÁÁiÁ 1963, PEí 71J z áÁÁgU «ZÁgu Eq→Á, Á° PÁjvÁ F «“ÁU P, ASÁΦ¹z WIPÁCPÁjU½Á E°ÁSÁ EÁÁiÁÁ@ÁiÁz áÁÁAz °ÁdgÁŷr¹gÁvÁg.

F P½U E°ÁEΦ¹z (1) C. EA. 1 g° dŷŷr¹z áÁ°E áÁ°ÁAz fÁŷ KA-37/M-1426 g áíÁ°PjU PEÁÐIP Cgtí PÁÁiÁ 1963, PEí 71© zgrÁiÁ° Φ: 26-11-2014, 12-01-2017, 22-03-2017 gAv PÁ°ÁPÁ± áÁr v°Á áÁ°E°EÁ CEΦÁPv°ÁV Cgtí GvEíU½EÁ, ÁV, @Á S½¹@°ASÁZEÁ F EÁÁiÁÁ@ÁiÁz áÁÁAz, Á©ÁvÁ ŷr, @Á 3 (°ÁEgÁ) EÁÁn, íU½EÁ áÁqÁVZÁ áÁ°E áíÁ°PgÁ EzE°gu F EÁÁiÁÁ@ÁiÁ°EÁ, AŷQð¹ZÁ EgÁ°í©.

(2) C. EA. 2 g° dŷŷr¹z áÁ°E n«J, Ĵ, AmÁ °EÁlgí ĴPí GA-05/A-2128 g áíÁ°PjU PEÁÐIP Cgtí PÁÁiÁ 1963, PEí 71© zgrÁiÁ° ΦEÁAP: 26-11-2014, 07-10-2016, 25-04-2017 gAv PÁ°ÁPÁ± áÁr v°Á áÁ°E°EÁ CEΦÁPv°ÁV Cgtí GvEíU½EÁ, ÁV, @Á S½¹@°ASÁZEÁ F EÁÁiÁÁ@ÁiÁz áÁÁAz, Á©ÁvÁ ŷr, @Á 3 (°ÁEgÁ) EÁÁn, íU½EÁ áÁqÁVZÁ áÁ°E áíÁ°PgÁ EzE°gu F EÁÁiÁÁ@ÁiÁ°EÁ, AŷQð¹ZÁ EgÁ°í©.

(3) C. EA. 3 g° dŷŷr¹z áÁ°E áíÁgÁw N«Á GA-03/H-2236 g áíÁ°PjU PEÁÐIP Cgtí PÁÁiÁ 1963, PEí 71© zgrÁiÁ° ΦEÁAP: 06-12-2017, 14-12-2017, 08-01-2018 gAv PÁ°ÁPÁ± áÁr v°Á áÁ°E°EÁ CEΦÁPv°ÁV Cgtí GvEíU½EÁ, ÁV, @Á S½¹@°ASÁZEÁ F EÁÁiÁÁ@ÁiÁz áÁÁAz, Á©ÁvÁ ŷr, @Á 3 (°ÁEgÁ) EÁÁn, íU½EÁ áÁqÁVZÁ áÁ°E áíÁ°PgÁ EzE°gu F EÁÁiÁÁ@ÁiÁ°EÁ, AŷQð¹ZÁ EgÁ°í©.

(4) C.EA. 4 g° dŷŷr¹z áÁ°E áíÁgÁw N«Á KA-25/Z-5577 g áíÁ°PjU PEÁÐIP Cgtí PÁÁiÁ 1963, PEí 71© zgrÁiÁ° ΦEÁAP: 26-11-2014, 12-01-2017, 22-03-2017 gAv PÁ°ÁPÁ± áÁr v°Á áÁ°E°EÁ CEΦÁPv°ÁV Cgtí GvEíU½EÁ, ÁV, @Á S½¹@°ASÁZEÁ F EÁÁiÁÁ@ÁiÁz áÁÁAz, Á©ÁvÁ ŷr, @Á 3 (°ÁEgÁ) EÁÁn, íU½EÁ áÁqÁVZÁ áÁ°E áíÁ°PgÁ EzE°gu F EÁÁiÁÁ@ÁiÁ°EÁ, AŷQð¹ZÁ EgÁ°í©.

(5) C. EA. 5 g° dŷŷr¹z áÁ°E J°íĴ-80 KA-25/J-6514 g áíÁ°PjU PEÁÐIP Cgtí PÁÁiÁ 1963, PEí 71© zgrÁiÁ° ΦEÁAP: 26-11-2014, 12-01-2017, 25-04-2017 gAv PÁ°ÁPÁ± áÁr v°Á áÁ°E°EÁ CEΦÁPv°ÁV Cgtí GvEíU½EÁ, ÁV, @Á S½¹@°ASÁZEÁ F EÁÁiÁÁ@ÁiÁz áÁÁAz, Á©ÁvÁ ŷr, @Á 3 (°ÁEgÁ) EÁÁn, íU½EÁ áÁqÁVZÁ áÁ°E áíÁ°PgÁ EzE°gu F EÁÁiÁÁ@ÁiÁ°EÁ, AŷQð¹ZÁ EgÁ°í©.

(6) C. EA. 6 g° dýðr¹z á°E máðPí PÆ±gí KA-25/B-1366 g áí°PjU PEÁÐIP Cgtí PÁAiÁ 1963 ,PEí 71© zgrAi° ÇEÁAP: 6-11-2017, 23-11-2017, 14-12-2017 gAv PÁÁAP± ðÄr vªÄ á°EáEÄ ÇEÇÄPvªÁV Cgtí GvEíUªEÄ ,ÁV,®Ä S¼¹®ASÄZEÄ F EÁAiÁ®AiÄz áÄÄz ,ÁÇÄVª ¥r,®Ä 3 (ªÄEgÄ) EÄÄn,íUªEÄ ðÄq-ÁVzÄ á°E áí°PgÄ EzÆªgu F EÁAiÁ®AiÁªEÄ ,A¥Qð¹zÄ EgÄªÇ®.

(7) C. EA. 7 g° dýðr¹z á°E máðPí PÆ±gí KA-02/B-8604 g áí°PjU PEÁÐIP Cgtí PÁAiÁ 1963 ,PEí 71© zgrAi° ÇEÁAP: 16-1-2017, 25-5-2017, 23-11-2017 gAv PÁÁAP± ðÄr vªÄ á°EáEÄ ÇEÇÄPvªÁV Cgtí GvEíUªEÄ ,ÁV,®Ä S¼¹®ASÄZEÄ F EÁAiÁ®AiÄz áÄÄz ,ÁÇÄVª ¥r,®Ä 3 (ªÄEgÄ) EÄÄn,íUªEÄ ðÄq-ÁVzÄ á°E áí°PgÄ EzÆªgu F EÁAiÁ®AiÁªEÄ ,A¥Qð¹zÄ EgÄªÇ®.

(8) C. EA. 8 g° dýðr¹z á°E áÄÄmág íPí KA-29/L-2242 g áí°PjU PEÁÐIP Cgtí PÁAiÁ 1963 ,PEí 71© zgrAi° ÇEÁAP: 25-01-2017, 22-03-2017, 03-04-2017 gAv PÁÁAP± ðÄr vªÄ á°EáEÄ ÇEÇÄPvªÁV Cgtí GvEíUªEÄ ,ÁV,®Ä S¼¹®ASÄZEÄ F EÁAiÁ®AiÄz áÄÄz ,ÁÇÄVª ¥r,®Ä 3 (ªÄEgÄ) EÄÄn,íUªEÄ ðÄq-ÁVzÄ á°E áí°PgÄ EzÆªgu F EÁAiÁ®AiÁªEÄ ,A¥Qð¹zÄ EgÄªÇ®.

(9) C. EA. 9 g° dýðr¹z á°E Jªíi-80 CNL-8578 g áí°PjU PEÁÐIP Cgtí PÁAiÁ 1963 ,PEí 71© zgrAi° ÇEÁAP: 22-03-2017, 03-04-2017 gAv PÁÁAP± ðÄr vªÄ á°EáEÄ ÇEÇÄPvªÁV Cgtí GvEíUªEÄ ,ÁV,®Ä S¼¹®ASÄZEÄ F EÁAiÁ®AiÄz áÄÄz ,ÁÇÄVª ¥r,®Ä 2 (JgqÄ) EÄÄn,íUªEÄ ðÄq-ÁVzÄ á°E áí°PgÄ ,zj á°Ez áí°PgÄ vª® JAZª º½P ðÄrzÄ EgÄvz.

(10) C. EA. 10 g° dýðr¹z á°E Jªíi-80 KA-31/E-9416 g áí°PjU PEÁÐIP Cgtí PÁAiÁ 1963 ,PEí 71© zgrAi° ÇEÁAP: 03-12-2016, 31-12-2016, 11-12-2016 gAv PÁÁAP± ðÄr vªÄ á°EáEÄ ÇEÇÄPvªÁV Cgtí GvEíUªEÄ ,ÁV,®Ä S¼¹®ASÄZEÄ F EÁAiÁ®AiÄz áÄÄz ,ÁÇÄVª ¥r,®Ä 3 (ªÄEgÄ) EÄÄn,íUªEÄ ðÄq-ÁVzÄ á°E áí°PgÄ EzÆªgu F EÁAiÁ®AiÁªEÄ ,A¥Qð¹zÄ EgÄªÇ®.

(11) C. EA. 11 g° dýðr¹z á°E Jªíi-80 KA-26/H-1016 g áí°PjU PEÁÐIP Cgtí PÁAiÁ 1963 ,PEí 71© zgrAi° ÇEÁAP: 12-01-2017, 22-03-2017, 03-04-2017 gAv PÁÁAP± ðÄr vªÄ á°EáEÄ ÇEÇÄPvªÁV Cgtí GvEíUªEÄ ,ÁV,®Ä S¼¹®ASÄZEÄ F EÁAiÁ®AiÄz áÄÄz ,ÁÇÄVª ¥r,®Ä 3 (ªÄEgÄ) EÄÄn,íUªEÄ ðÄq-ÁVzÄ á°E áí°PgÄ EzÆªgu F EÁAiÁ®AiÁªEÄ ,A¥Qð¹zÄ EgÄªÇ®.

(12) C. EA. 12 g° dýðr¹z á°E »gÄ ºEAgÄ ¥Ä±Eí ¥E KA-22/EL-3822 g áí°PjU PEÁÐIP Cgtí PÁAiÁ 1963 ,PEí 71© zgrAi° ÇEÁAP: 13-01-2017, 22-03-2017, 03-04-2017 gAv PÁÁAP± ðÄr vªÄ á°EáEÄ ÇEÇÄPvªÁV Cgtí GvEíUªEÄ ,ÁV,®Ä S¼¹®ASÄZEÄ F EÁAiÁ®AiÄz áÄÄz ,ÁÇÄVª ¥r,®Ä 3 (ªÄEgÄ) EÄÄn,íUªEÄ ðÄq-ÁVzÄ á°E áí°PgÄ EzÆªgu F EÁAiÁ®AiÁªEÄ ,A¥Qð¹zÄ EgÄªÇ®.

(13) C. EA. 13 g° dýðr¹z á°E Áj KA-25/B-5142 g áí°PjU PEÁÐIP Cgtí PÁAiÁ 1963 ,PEí 71© zgrAi° ÇEÁAP: 13-01-2017, 22-03-2017, 03-04-2017 gAv PÁÁAP± ðÄr vªÄ á°EáEÄ ÇEÇÄPvªÁV Cgtí GvEíUªEÄ ,ÁV,®Ä S¼¹®ASÄZEÄ F EÁAiÁ®AiÄz áÄÄz ,ÁÇÄVª ¥r,®Ä 3 (ªÄEgÄ) EÄÄn,íUªEÄ ðÄq-ÁVzÄ á°E áí°PgÄ EzÆªgu F EÁAiÁ®AiÁªEÄ ,A¥Qð¹zÄ EgÄªÇ®.

(14) C. EA. 14 g° dýðr¹z á°E EArPÁ Ág KA-25/B-6619 g áí°PjU PEÁÐIP Cgtí PÁAiÁ 1963 ,PEí 71© zgrAi° ÇEÁAP: 20-06-2017, 31-01-2017, 07-12-2017 gAv PÁÁAP± ðÄr vªÄ á°EáEÄ ÇEÇÄPvªÁV Cgtí GvEíUªEÄ ,ÁV,®Ä S¼¹®ASÄZEÄ F EÁAiÁ®AiÄz áÄÄz ,ÁÇÄVª ¥r,®Ä 3 (ªÄEgÄ) EÄÄn,íUªEÄ ðÄq-ÁVzÄ á°E áí°PgÄ EzÆªgu F EÁAiÁ®AiÁªEÄ ,A¥Qð¹zÄ EgÄªÇ®.

(15) C. EA. 15 g° dýðr¹z á°E Jªíi-80 KA-31/E-8861 g áí°PjU PEÁÐIP Cgtí PÁAiÁ 1963 ,PEí 71© zgrAi° ÇEÁAP: 21-03-2017, 03-04-2017, 23-11-2017 gAv PÁÁAP± ðÄr vªÄ á°EáEÄ ÇEÇÄPvªÁV Cgtí GvEíUªEÄ ,ÁV,®Ä S¼¹®ASÄZEÄ F EÁAiÁ®AiÄz áÄÄz ,ÁÇÄVª ¥r,®Ä 3 (ªÄEgÄ) EÄÄn,íUªEÄ ðÄq-ÁVzÄ á°E áí°PgÄ EzÆªgu F EÁAiÁ®AiÁªEÄ ,A¥Qð¹zÄ EgÄªÇ®.

(16) C. EA. 16 g° dýðr¹z á°E Jªíi-80 KA-31/E-4945 g áí°PjU PEÁÐIP Cgtí PÁAiÁ 1963 ,PEí 71© zgrAi° ÇEÁAP: 31-12-2016, 11-01-2017, 22-03-2017 gAv PÁÁAP± ðÄr vªÄ á°EáEÄ ÇEÇÄPvªÁV Cgtí GvEíUªEÄ ,ÁV,®Ä S¼¹®ASÄZEÄ F EÁAiÁ®AiÄz áÄÄz ,ÁÇÄVª ¥r,®Ä 3 (ªÄEgÄ) EÄÄn,íUªEÄ ðÄq-ÁVzÄ á°E áí°PgÄ EzÆªgu F EÁAiÁ®AiÁªEÄ ,A¥Qð¹zÄ EgÄªÇ®.

PÁgt, F ¥Plu ºEgr¹z 30 ¸ª, UªEªUÁV PªU EªªEÇ¹z á°E UªU ,AªAÇ¹z áí°PgÄ vªÄ á°EáEÄ ÇEÇÄPvªÁV Cgtí GvEíUªEÄ ,ÁV,®Ä S¼¹®ASÄZEÄ F EÁAiÁ®AiÄz áÄÄz ,ÁÇÄVª ¥r,®Ä PÆEiÁ CªPªªEÄ F ºªE®P ðÄq-ÁVz. F PªU EªªEÇ¹z á°E Uªª áí°PgÄ ºªª ºªªAv vªÄ á°EáEÄ ,zj UÄEiÁª MªUÆAr® JÆªª

PÁjvÁ ,ÁÖÄvÄŷr ,ÖÄ «ŷ@gÁZ° aÁ°E aÄvÄ Czg° CÉÇÄPv^aÁV ,ÁV ,Áwz| Cgt| GvE|U¼EÄ| PEÁÐIP Cgt| PÁAiÄ| 1963 ,PEi 71J zg ŷPÁg ,gPÁgP| aÄÄ IÄU/EÄÖÄ °ÁQP/E¼[—]ÁUÄa|ZÄ.

P. A	aÖAiÄ	aÁ°Ez «ag	JŷiLDgĩ EA. & ÇEÁAP	a±ŷr ¹ PEAq Cgt GvEi	Z ¹ EA.	EafÄEi EA.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	,ÁÄ [—] Át	aÄ°ÄAz fÄŷ KA-37/M-1426	1/2012-13, 26-08-2012	,ÁU 21=0.186 W.«ÄÄ.	DX153229	DX153229
2	°½AiÄ¼	n« ,ĩ ,AmÁ aÆmÁg [—] ÉPi GA-05/A-2128	1/2012-13, 10-05-2012	,ÁU 2=0.058 W.«ÄÄ.	MD624AH 1442 B17780	0H1B420 17846
3	«EÆð°	a iÁgÁw N«ÄÇ GA-03/H-2236	1/2011-12, 15-05-2011	zÁ° Ç aÆUÄ 122 P.f.	--	--
4	°½AiÄ¼	a iÁgÁw N«ÄÇ KA-25/Z-5577	4/2012-13, 10-11-2012	,ÁU PÆgz EU 2=0.122 W.«ÄÄ.	435869	2091133
5	zÁAqÄ°	J ^a ĩ-80 KA-25/J-6514	3/2013-14, 25-08-2013	¹ ,A 3=0.093 W.«ÄÄ.	16C96B 56925	16E96B 57180
6	,ÁÄ [—] Át	mÄÇPi PE ¹ AiÄgi	1/2014-15, 29-11-2014	,ÁU EU 8=1.045 W.«ÄÄ.	T57029 288B05	D14028328
7	eEÄ [—] ÁqÁ	mAŷEÄ mÄÇPi	1/2014-15, 18-08-2014	,ÁU EU 8=0.753 W.«ÄÄ.	T570150 65H03	D14013979
8	°½AiÄ¼	aÆÄmÁg [—] ÉPi KA-29/L-2242	2/2014-15, 08-07-2014	,ÁU EU 1=0.046 W.«ÄÄ.	99M19F 01024	99M174E 00740
9	°½AiÄ¼	J ^a ĩ-80 KA-26/H-1016	2/2015-16, 02-09-2015	,ÁU a dAV d [—] Áa 0.300 W.«ÄÄ.	16CBEK 29331	16EBEJ 30229
10	°½AiÄ¼	J ^a ĩ-80 CNL-8578,	1/2015-16, 09/06/2015	d [—] Áa 2.000 W.«ÄÄ.	--	--
11	°½AiÄ¼	J ^a ĩ-80 KA-31/E-9416			16C96H 51777	16E96H 52008
12	,ÁÄ [—] Át	»gEÄ°ÆAqÁ ŷÄ±Ei KA-22/EL-3822	3/2015-16, 26-09-2015	,ÁU EU 4=0.562 W.«ÄÄ. d [—] Áa 2.000 W.«ÄÄ. ,ÁU EÁIÄ 15=1.627 W.«ÄÄ. ,ÁU EU 17=0.272 W.«ÄÄ. «Ä [—] i a ,ĩ 0.250 W.«ÄÄ.	MBLHA10 AWCHJ 88642	HA10ENCHJ 91122
13	,ÁÄ [—] Át	407 [—] Áj KA-23/5142			357010BT Q807247	497SP21BTQ 720902
14	zÁAqÄ°	EArPÁ PÁg KA-25/B-6619	1/2016-17, 01-05-2016	,ÁU EU 8=0.528 W.«ÄÄ.	600134 MTZ H9990	475IDI05MT ZPJ0474

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
15	ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿ	ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿ-80 KA-31/E-8861	2/2016-17, 15-05-2016	ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿ 0.109 W.ಎಂ.ಎ.	16C96D 93252	16E96D 86449
16	ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿ	ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿ-80 KA-31/E-4945	2/2016-17, 04-07-2016	ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿ 0.086 W.ಎಂ.ಎ.	16C94A 80933	16E94A 81134

PR - 496

SC - 10

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ರಾಜ್ಯದ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ
ಗೌರವ, ಉಗ್ರಾಧಿಕಾರ, ದಿನಾಂಕ: 12, 2018
ಪುಟ 3

THE STATE ELECTION COMMISSION, KARNATAKA, BENGALURU

CASE NO: SEC 01/CTP/2016

ORDER DATED: 03-04-2018

BETWEEN :

1. Deputy Commissioner,
Haveri District, Haveri.
2. Executive Officer.
Hangal Taluk Panchayath.
Hangal.

. . . . Petitioners/ Complainants

V/s

1. Sri Abdul Bashirkhan S/o Ismail khan Pathan
Member, Hangal Taluk Panchayat, Hangal.
R/o, Akki Aluru, Hangal Taluk, Haveri District.

. Respondent

Represented by Advocate: Sri Prakash S Udikeri

The facts of the case :

1. The Respondent Sri Abdul Basheer Khan Ismail Khan Pathan was elected as member of Grama Panchayat Akki Allur constituency -6 Hangal Taluk, Haveri district in the elections held on 05.06.2015. Subsequently he has also been elected as member of the Taluk Panchayat Akki Allur constituency-5, Hangal Taluk, Haveri district on 13.02.2016. The Regional Commissioner Revenue Division, Belagavi has notified the names of the elected Hangal Taluka Panchayat members on 30.03.2016 and this is published in the Karnataka Gazette on 14.04.2016. The Respondent's name in the Gazette figured at serial number 5, under Category *General* representing the party Indian National Congress.
2. Executive Officer, Taluk Panchayath, Hangal, submitted a report to Deputy Commissioner, Haveri district in the letter dated 21.10.2016, stating that the Respondent was elected as member of Grama Panchayat Akki Aluru constituency-6 before being elected as member of the Taluk Panchayat Akki Allur constituency-5, Hangal Taluk, Haveri district. He further submits that the Respondent had expressed his willingness to continue as a member of Taluk Panchayat since he had already resigned from the Grama Panchayat membership on 23.07.2016 which was accepted by the President of the Grama Panchayat. Therefore, the seat of Grama Panchayat, Akki Alur becomes vacant, *under Section 43 of Karnataka Panchayat Raj Act, 1993*.
3. Along with the letter to the Deputy Commissioner, Haveri District, the Executive Officer has enclosed a certified copy of Karnataka Gazette notification dated 14.04.2016, in which the election results of Taluka Panchayat Hangal, were notified by Regional Commissioner, Belagavi, on 30.03.2016, and a certified copy of the proceedings of meeting of the Hangal Taluk Panchayat dated 12.05.2016, showing the respondent's participation in the meeting.
4. The Deputy Commissioner, Haveri district in his report submitted to the State Election Commission on 8.11.2016 averring that, the Sri Abdul Basheer Khan Ismail Khan Pathan, Akki Aluru the member of Taluk Panchayat, Hangal has failed to resign the Grama panchayat membership within 15 days from the date of notification of names of members of Taluk Panchayat. Further submits he had tendered resignation to the Grama Panchayath membership on 23.07.2016, the same was accepted on 01.08.2016 in the Grama Panchayat. Hence, the Deputy Commissioner, Haveri requests to disqualify the member of Taluk panchayat for the delay in submission of the resignation.
5. The Deputy Commissioner Haveri district in the additional submission dated 21.01.2017, has informed that as per the clarification received by the Principal Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayat Raj (RDPR) department, the resignation tendered for the seat of Grama Panchayath was accepted by the President of Grama Panchayat in 6 days, is contrary to the existing rules.

Hence the Grama Panchayat seat could not be considered vacant, however the seat in Taluka Panchayat would be treated as vacant **u/s 128(2) and 133 of Karnataka Gram Swaraj and Panchayath Raj Act, 1993**. Therefore directed Deputy Commissioner to submit a report **u/s 129(2) of Karnataka Gram Swaraj and Panchayath Raj Act, 1993** to the State Election Commission for further needful action. Based on the above specified grounds Deputy Commissioner reports to the Commission that the Taluk Panchayat seat in Akki Allur constituency-5, Hangal Taluk, Haveri district has become vacant.

6. The Principal Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayat Raj Department in the letter dated 09-12-2016 to the Deputy Commissioner, Haveri district has clarified that, the action of President, Grama Panchayat, Akki Aluru accepting the resignation of the Respondent within a period of 6 days, instead of waiting for the completion of statutory period of 15 days contravenes Section 43 of **Karnataka Gram Swaraj and Panchayath Raj Act, 1993**. Hence, the seat of the Grama Panchayat cannot be considered vacant. Further, the Deputy Commissioner, Haveri has directed to submit a report to State Election Commission **u/s 128 read with 129(2) of Karnataka Gram Swaraj and Panchayath Raj Act, 1993**.
7. On the basis of report submitted by the Deputy Commissioner, Haveri District, in the letter dated 08-11-2016, the Commission registered the case. The Deputy Commissioner, Haveri district and Executive Officer, Taluk Panchayat, Hangal taluk were made Petitioners and Abdul Basheer Khan Ismail Khan, Akki Aluru, Hangal Taluk, Haveri District was made Respondent, and issued notices to both the parties.
8. The notices were served on both the parties requiring them to appear before the Commission on 06-12-2016. The Deputy Commissioner, Haveri district has neither appeared in any one of the dates of hearing, nor authorized any officer in person to defend the case.

However, the Planning Officer, Hangal representing the Executive officer, Taluk Panchayat, Hangal and the Respondent through his advocate, appeared before the Commission on 06.12.2016, 20.12.2016, 03.01.2017, 17.01.2016, 31.01.2017, 14.02.2017, 28.02.2017, 14.03.2017, 28.03.2017, 12.04.2017, 25.04.2017, 21.05.2017, 13.06.2017, 20-06-2017, 27.06.2017, 30.06.2017, 10.07.2017, 28.07.2017, 22.08.2017, 08.09.2017, 04.10.2017, 31.10.2017, 21.11.2017, 20.12.2017, 02.01.2018, 23.01.2018, 20.02.2018 and 06.03.2018.

9. The respondent has filed his objections through his Learned Advocate as follows.
 - (i) According to the objections filed by the Respondent, the complaint by the Deputy Commissioner, Haveri district is false, baseless and illegal as well. The respondent is an agricultural labourer of Akki Alur village. He can speak kannada but cannot read and write kannada language. In 2015 he had been elected as member of Gram panchayat Akki Alur. Later on 27.02.2016 he got elected as member of Hangal Taluk Panchayat, the same was notified in the gazette on 30.03.2016 by Regional Commissioner Belagavi. Within 15 days after becoming the member of Taluka panchayat after consulting his supporters in a meeting at his house on 07.04.2016, decided to resign from the membership of Gram Panchayat. He went to house of the President of Gram Panchayat Sri Pradeep B. Sheshagiri, handed over his resignation letter in writing, in presence of his supporters Sri Malleshappa Maddar, Smt. Rajiyabee Hittalamani, Smt. Mamtajbee Valikar, Sri. Abdul khadar yadachi, Abdul Shafi Hosakoppa and Sattarsab Araleshwar. He obtained the acknowledgment copy from the President Grama Panchayat, Akki Aluru with the seal and signature of the Grama Panchayat President Sri Pradeep B Sheshagiri. Hence he pleaded that as the complainant has suppressed the truth, complaint is liable to be dismissed, and it is not maintainable before this Hon'ble Commission.
 - (ii) The Respondent further submits that, it is totally false to say that he resigned from his office on 23.07.2016 and that same was accepted on 01.08.2016 in the Grama Panchayat meeting.

On the other hand he had been invited to attend the meetings of Gram Panchayat, Akki Alur held on 21.03.2016, 30.05.2016 and 01.08.2016, after he became the Taluk Panchayat member. He attended all these meetings in the capacity of Taluk Panchayat Member. The Respondent claims that the President Gram Panchayat who use to be his political opponent by misusing his office has concocted the resignation letter dated 23.07.2016. The entire proceedings drawn by Gram Panchayat and the report submitted by the Deputy Commissioner are not sustainable in the eye of law. There are no possibilities to consider Taluk Panchayat seat of the Respondent as vacant. Hence prays for dismissal of complaint.

10. The Planning Officer, Taluk Panchayat, Hangal taluk who has represented the Executive Officer and got himself examined as PW-1. Documents at Ex-P1 to P4 were marked. The Respondent has got himself examined as RW-1. Three witnesses were examined as RW2 to 4. Documents at Ex-R1, R1(a) and R1(b) are marked. Both sides have filed written argument and addressed oral argument as well.
11. The Planning Officer, Taluka Panchayat, Hangal on behalf of the Executive Officer, Taluk Panchayat, Hangal taluk in the written argument filed before the Commission on 21.12.2017, submits that the **Sri Abdul Basheer Khan Ismail Khan Pathan, was member of Grama panchayat before becoming the Taluka panchayat member**. Elections were conducted for the Grama panchayat on 05.06.2015, **Sri Abdul Basheer Khan Ismail Khan Pathan, was elected as Grama Panchayat member Akki Allur constituency-1 Ward no.6, Hangal Taluk, Haveri district**. Subsequently in the elections of Taluka panchayat held on 13.02.2016 he became the member of Taluka Panchayat Akki Allur constituency-5, Hangal taluk, Haveri district. The list of Taluka Panchayat elected members was notified by the Regional Commissioner, Revenue Division, Belagavi

on 30.03.2016. Later **Sri Abdual Basheer Khan Ismail Khan Pathan**, tendered the resignation on 23.07.2016 which was accepted by President of Gram Panchayat on 29.07.2016 at 5.30 pm and forwarded on the same day to Panchayat Development Officer (PDO), Akki Alur for necessary action.

The PDO Akki Alur Sri DS Thotada attested and forwarded it to the Executive Officer, Taluka Panchayath on 20.08.2016, which was in turn sent to Deputy Commissioner, Haveri on the same day by Executive Officer. The Executive Officer submits that the Taluka Panchayat had no information about **Sri Abdual Basheer Khan Ismail Khan Pathan submitting his resignation letter to the membership of Grama Panchayat to the President of the Grama panchayat on 07.04.2016 as claimed.** According to the clarification issued by the Principal Secretary, Panchayat Raj and Rural Development (RDPR) department to the Deputy Commissioner, Haveri district in the letter dated 09.12.2016, the President of the Grama panchayat has accepted the resignation before expiry of stipulated period of 15 days as per the existing rules. The Grama Panchayat member seat has not become vacant. However, the Taluka panchayat seat has to be considered vacant **u/s 128(2) and 133 of Karnataka Gram Swaraj and Panchayath Raj Act, 1993.**

12. The respondent through his advocate Sri. Prakash S. Udikeri has filed reiterating the contention raised in the objections, he prays for dismissal of the complaint on the grounds that the Gram Panchayat President has accepted his resignation and invited the Respondent to the Grama Panchayat meetings, held on 21.03.2016, 30.05.2016 and 01.08.2016 taken his signatures to the proceedings of the said meeting. He also submits that President by misusing his office, having suppressed the resignation letter dated 07.04.2016 by him, has caused irreparable loss to the respondent. Hence, he pleads for upholding the contentions of the respondent based on Ex-R1 and prays for dismissal of the complaint.

13. I have perused the entire records oral, documentary evidence and arguments as well.

The Points that arise for my consideration are as under:

1. Whether the respondent is liable to be disqualified?
2. What Order?

1. Answers :

1. Negative.
2. As per below order.

REASONS

14. The counsel for the Respondent submits that PW-1 having had no personal knowledge of facts, his evidence led in support of Ex-P1 to P4, shall be viewed with disfavor. Therefore he prays for dismissal of the complaint. I have pursued oral evidence of PW-1, and so also documents at Ex-P1 to P4. The burden is heavy on the complainant, to prove his case made out in the complaint that the respondent has failed to resign within 15 days after he was elected as Taluk Panchayath member. As per the Executive Officer's report, the resignation submitted on 23.07.2016 came to be accepted on 29.07.2016 by the President and the same was placed before Grama Panchayat General Body meeting and accepted on 01.08.2016. The respondent has taken a stand that though he handed over the original resignation letter dated:07.04.2016 marked as Ex-R1, and obtained acknowledgement of Sri Pradeep B Sheshagiri, President, Grama Panchayat, Akki Aluru marked as R1(b), has been suppressed by the President causing irreparable loss to him, as his membership of Taluk Panchayat is at stake.
15. PW-1 Sri Hanumagatti working as a Planning Officer, Taluk Panchayat, Hangal Taluk since May 2016 has attended on behalf of Executive Officer. In his evidence he got marked report of Deputy Commissioner, Haveri District addressed to State Election Commission for disqualifying the Respondent from the membership of the Taluk Panchayat as Ex-P2. The letter dated: 21.01.2017 marked as Ex-P3 to show that Department of Rural and Panchayat Raj has opined that the action of the Grama Panchayat President in accepting the resignation of the Respondent on 6th day before the expiration period 15 days is illegal and asked for declaring the seat of Respondent to Taluk Panchayat as vacant.
16. Ex-P1 is letter dated 21-10-2016 by Executive Officer, Taluk Panchayat, Hangal taluk to Deputy Commissioner stating that belated resignation of respondent is invalid in the eye of law. However, in the cross examination PW-1 admits that Executive Officer has not given any authority to depose in this case and further Deputy Commissioner never authorized him to depose on his behalf.

PW-1 further admits that he has no personal knowledge of the case and particularly the correspondence marked as Ex-P1 to P3. There is no much evidentiary value to the oral evidence of PW-1, because, in my opinion the concerned Executive Officer who had the personal knowledge of case and who corresponded with the Deputy Commissioner, the then Panchayat Development Officer of Akki Alur who had issued Form No.3 reporting the vacancy of Gram Panchayat of Akki Alur to Executive Officer, Hanagal Taluk, Haveri district should have deposed in support of the complaint. Under these circumstances, Ex-P1 to P3 have not been proved beyond doubt at all and in accordance with law as held in Sadashiv H. Patil's case, wherein such cases proof beyond doubt is expected. The observations in Sadashiv H. Patil's case has been extracted below.

(i) The Legal Position is :

1. (2000) 8 SCC 82 = AIR 2000 SC 3044, **Sadashiv H. Patil Vs Vithal D. Teke and others** though rendered under Maharashtra Local Authority Members' Disqualification Act, 1986 (20 of 1987), Sections 3(1)(b) & 7, the Law laid down by Supreme

Court, would be attracted as enumerated in para 14 of the Judgment. I am also dealing in the present case with disqualification of Respondent who is elected member of Taluka panchayat and to declare the seat vacant, as such burden of proof would be very heavy upon the complainant herein.

The para no.14 of the above case of Hon'ble Supreme Court reads as under:

"A finding as to disqualification under the Act has the effect of unseating a person from an elected office held by him pursuant to his victory at the polls in accordance with the democratic procedure of constituting a local authority. The consequence befall not only him as an individual but also the constituency represented by him which would cease to be represented on account of his having been disqualified. Looking at the penal consequences flowing from an elected councilor being subjected to disqualification and its repercussion on the functioning of the local body as also the city or township governed by the local body provision have to be construed strictly.

A rigorous compliance with the provisions of the Act and the Rules must be shown to have taken place while dealing with a reference under section 7 of the Act".

- (ii) **2005 (2) Kar.L.J 191 in Abdul Nazir M.B. and others Vs The Deputy Commissioner, Dakshina Kannada District, Mangalore and Others** – A decision rendered u/s 43 and 8 of Karnataka panchayat Raj Act, 1993, wherein it was held as under

"Resignation tendered on 04.09.2004, accepted by President on 18.09.2004 before expiry of 15 days prescribed in section 43 of Act is contrary to said provision and bad in law –Members who have tendered resignation are entitled to continue in their office until the term is over – Writ Petition allowed".

17. Section 43, 128 and 133 of Karnataka Gram Swaraj and Panchayath Raj Act, 1993

43. Resignation of member.- A member of a Grama Panchayat may resign his membership in writing under his hand addressed to the Adhyaksha and his seat shall become vacant on the expiry of fifteen days from the date of the receipt of such resignation, unless within the said period of fifteen days he withdraws such resignation by writing under his hand addressed to the Adhyaksha. 1 [The Adhyaksha shall cause the letter of resignation to be placed in the next meeting of the Grama Panchayat.] 1

128. Disqualification for members : (1) A person shall be disqualified for being chosen and for being member of a Taluk Panchayat, - [(2) If a person who is chosen as a member of a Taluk Panchayat is or becomes a member of the House of the People, the Council of States, the State Legislative Assembly, the State Legislative Council, or is or becomes a Municipal Councillor or a Councillor of a Municipal Corporation or a Councillor of a Town Panchayat, or a member of a Zilla Panchayat or Grama Panchayat then at the expiration of a period of fifteen days from the date of notification of the names of the members under section 133, or as the case may be, within fifteen days from the date of commencement of term of office of a member of the House of the people, the Council of states, the State Legislative Assembly or the State Legislative Council or a Municipal Councillor or a Councillor of a Municipal Corporation or a Councillor of a Town Panchayat or a member of a Zilla Panchayat or Grama Panchayat, his seat in the Taluk Panchayat shall become vacant unless he has previously resigned his seat in the House of the People, the Council of States, the State Legislative Assembly, the State Legislative Council, the Municipal Council, the Municipal Corporation

133. Publication of names of members.- The names of members elected to any Taluk Panchayat shall be 1 [reported to the Commissioner who shall notify the names] 1 in the Official Gazette

18. The complainant relies on resignation letter of respondent dated 23.07.2016 marked as Ex-P4 and its acceptance by the President Sri. Pradeep Sheshagiri to show that he waited for only 6 days and then accepted the resignation tendered by the Respondent, on 29.07.2016. Per contra the respondent and his witnesses rely upon Ex-R1, said to be the acknowledgment given by the President Sri. Sheshagiri after receiving original resignation letter submitted by the respondent on 07.04.2016. According to the Respondent as he resigned within a statutory period of 15 days from the date of notification issued by the Regional Commissioner, Belagavi Division publishing the names of the members elected in the Taluka Panchayat, Hanagal, he prays that his seat in Taluk Panchayat cannot be declared as vacant.
19. In so far as accepting the resignation allegedly tendered, marked as Ex-P4 on 23.07.2016, in my opinion is total illegal and invalid as is held in **2005 (2) Kar.L.J 191 in Abdul Nazir M.B. and others Vs The Deputy Commissioner, Dakshina Kannada District, Mangalore and Others**(Referred Supra). In fact the Rural Development and Panchayat Raj Department also opined the same and gave clarification to the Deputy Commissioner on the basis of which Ex-P3 was addressed to this Commission, requesting the Commission, to declare the seat of the respondent of Taluk Panchayat held by Respondent as vacant.
20. Now considering Ex-R1, it is filed as a resignation letter, but respondent and his witnesses viz. RW-2 to RW-4 have deposed in one voice that all of them went to the house of the President Sri. Pradeep B. Sheshagiri, where respondent after handing out

resignation letter on 07.04.2016 did obtain acknowledgment under Ex-R1. Ex-R1 (a) is the signature of the respondent, whereas Ex-R1 (b) is the signature and seal of Sri. Pradeep B Sheshagiri. If at all as claimed by the Respondent that he resigned and submitted Ex-R1 on 07.04.2016 in the house of Sri Pradeep B Sheshagiri which should have been placed in the next meeting of Grama Panchayat for acceptance. A comparison of Ex-P4 and Ex-R1 in particular the seal and signature of the President appears to the naked eye as one and the same. Under these circumstances I am of the opinion that, the evidence of Sri. Sheshagiri on behalf of the complainant would have been of much importance and great relevance, for the purpose of clearing the cloud of suspicion surrounding Ex-P4 and Ex-R1 as well. Since we do not have evidence of Sri. Pradeep B. Sheshagiri, President, Akki Aluru Grama Panchayat on record, the complainant on whom burden is very heavy to prove their case beyond reasonable doubt, in my opinion the complainant has to fail to prove his case. We cannot put burden on the Respondent to prove his defense and Ex-R1 beyond reasonable doubt. We expect from the complainant a proof beyond reasonable doubt, for allowing the prayer for disqualifying the Respondent from his membership of Taluk Panchayat on the ground of resignation submitted beyond a period of 15 days from the date of Gazette notification dated: 30.03.2016. Since acceptance of Ex-P4 within a statutory period of 15 days namely in the present case, accepted after 6 days, having been rendered illegal and bad in law, the very basis of the case of the complainants vanishes.

21. The Panchayat president neither examined nor any other document were produced. The Respondent subsequent to his election to Taluk Panchayat has attended the Grama Panchayat meetings in the capacity of Taluk Panchayat member. The Complainant has asserted that the Respondent tendered his resignation beyond 15 days from the date of publication of the names of elected member. On the other hand Taluka Panchayat had simply forwarded the report to Deputy Commissioner, Haveri District. Deputy Commissioner in turn submitted the same to the Commission for adjudication. It is clear from the conduct of complainant that they have miserably failed in proving his case. It is not the duty of the Respondent to prove his case, onus of proving that the Respondent did not tender his resignation within 15 days lies on the Complainant, but the complainants have not succeeded in doing so. The evidence of President of Grama Panchayat, Akki alur was crucial. There are no documentary evidence produced before the Commission to show that the resignation letter was submitted by the Respondent on 07-04-2016 as claimed by the Respondent. It is significant to note that, the submission of the counsel for the Respondent that the Grama Panchayat had invited the Respondent at least on two occasions on 30.05.2016 and 01.08.2016, in his capacity as a Taluk Panchayat member that too after Respondent submitted his resignation on 07.04.2016. So it was for the President or Panchayat Development Officer of Gram Panchayat to explain this circumstance, which in my opinion operates against the complainants. PW-1 has merely pleaded ignorance but failed to stoutly deny that the Respondent has submitted the resignation on 07.04.2016, after meeting the President of Grama Panchayat along with supporters. Based on the above fact it is clear that the commission cannot come to conclusion that the Respondent has incurred disqualification and declare his seat vacant.

Viewed from the above point, I hold that complainants have failed, and their complaint is liable to be dismissed. Hence I answer point no.1 in the negative.

In the result I pass the following

ORDER

Complaint dismissed.

Dictated, typed, corrected and then pronounced in open court on this day

Dated : 03.04.2018

P.R. 506

SC-25

P.N. Sreenivasachary

Commissioner,

Karnataka State Election Commission,
Bengaluru.

THE STATE ELECTION COMMISSION, KARNATAKA, BENGALURU

CASE NO: SEC/ 02/CZP/2017

ORDER DATED: 17-04-2018

BETWEEN :

Panchayath Development Officer,
Galathaga Grama Panchayath,
Chikkodi Taluk, Belagavi District

... Petitioner/ Complainant

V/s

Sri Rajendra Ramappa Pawar, member,
Zilla Panchayath, Belagavi District.

..... Respondent

Represented by Advocate: Sri A.S. Naveen

1. The elected member of the Rural local bodies has to declare Assets and Liabilities in every calendar year within one month of the end of the financial year under **section 43-B of The Karnataka Grama Swaraj and Panchayat Raj Act, 1993 (KGSPR Act, 1993)** that reads as follows:

43B. Members to declare assets and liabilities.– (1) Every member shall within three months from the date of commencement of his term of office and until the expiry of his term in every calendar year, and within one month of the end of the financial year, file a declaration of the movable and immovable assets and liabilities of more than two lakhs owned by him and by all the members of his joint family in the form as may be prescribed before the state election commission through the panchayat development officer of concerned Gram Panchayat: Provided that, where the term of a member commences before two months of the end of the financial year such member may file his declaration within two months after the commencement of the following financial year.

(2) the panchayat development officer of the concerned panchayat shall receive the declarations filed by all the members and forward them to the state election commission in the first week of May of that year and, in the case of late submissions, in the first week of July of the year, with a statement of members who have filed and who have not filed the declaration.

(3) a member, who after filing a declaration under sub-section (1), acquires or disposes of any property or incurs any liability shall file a declaration to that effect before the state election commission through the panchayat development officer of the panchayat, within forty-five days from the date of such acquisition or disposal, as the case may be, and the panchayat development officer shall follow the same procedure as in sub-section (1).

2. **The Karnataka Grama Swaraj and Panchayat Raj (Declaration of Assets and Liabilities of elected members of Grama Panchayat, Taluk Panchayat and Zilla Panchayat members) Rules, 2016**, under Rule 3, every elected member of Grama Panchayat shall file a declaration of the movable and immovable properties worth more than Rs. 2.00 lakhs owned by him and by all the members of his joint family in the Form-1 as prescribed by the State Government until the expiry of his term in every calendar year.

In case the member fails to file the declaration of his assets and liabilities in Form 1 in the given time or files false declaration, then the State Election Commission has to act under **Section 43-B(4) of the KGSPR Act, 1993**, which reads as follows:

“43-B(4) if the member does not file the declaration within the time without reasonable cause or the declaration is found to be false, shall be deemed to have committed guilty of misconduct and the state election commission shall after giving an opportunity of hearing, remove him from membership and declare his seat vacant.”

3. Sri Rajendra Ramappa Pawar, Grama Panchayat member, Bheemapurawadi Constituency, ward No.11, Galathaga Grama Panchaya-18, Chikkodi Taluk, Belagavi District has failed to declare the Assets and Liabilities of his and his joint family in the prescribed Form 1 for the year 2016-17. Accordingly, notice has been issued to Sri Rajendra Ramappa Pawar for not filing the declaration within the time given in the Act i.e., before first week of July. A show cause notice was issued by the Commission under **Section 43-B (4) of the KGSPR Act, 1993** to the member Galathaga Grama Panchayat asking explanation as to why the Commission shall not remove him from his membership and declare his seat vacant. An opportunity of hearing has been given to the member under this notice, that if he failed to attend in person on the day scheduled i.e., on 18-08-2017 at 11.00 AM. action would be taken as per the provisions under the Act.
4. The State Election Commission has directed the Panchayat Development Officer (PDO) to serve the notice to the Sri Rajendra Ramappa Pawar, Grama Panchayat member in accordance with **Section 306 of The KGSPR Act, 1993**. PDO has informed the Commission in his letter dated: 16-08-2017, that the notice has been served on the member and has sent the acknowledgement.
5. When the case was called on 18-08-2017, the member of Grama Panchayat Sri Rajendra Ramappa Pawar remained absent before the Commission. The PDO, Galathaga Grama Panchayat was present and informed the Commission that the member has not been attending the meetings of Grama Panchayat almost for a year and the same has already been reported to the Executive Officer (EO), Taluk Panchayat. The case was adjourned to the next date 29-08-2017 for further enquiry.
6. The PDO, Galathaga Grama Panchayat was present before the Commission On 29-08-2017 and submitted that the member of Grama Panchayat Galathaga Sri Rajendra Ramappa Pawar has been elected as the member of Zilla Panchayat constituency-3 under reserved category, Belagavi District as per the notification dated: 28-03-2016. As a Grama Panchayat member he remained absent for the general body meetings of Grama Panchayat after becoming Zilla Panchayat member. The member has not even offered his resignation to the membership of Grama Panchayat and the same has been reported to Executive Officer, Chikkodi Taluk Panchayat on 30-06-2017.
7. Based on the facts submitted by the PDO Galathaga Grama Panchayat, the Commission registered the case under the Section 168(2) read with 167(2) of the **KGSPR Act, 1993** which reads as follows:

167(2): If a person who is chosen as a member of a Zilla Panchayat is or becomes a member of the House of the People, the Council of States, the State Legislative Assembly or the State Legislative Council, or is or becomes a Municipal Councillor or a Councillor of a Municipal Corporation or a Councillor of a Town Panchayat, or a member of a Taluk Panchayat or a Grama Panchayat then at the expiration of a period of fifteen days from the date of notification of the names of the members under section 172, or as the case may be, within fifteen days from the date of commencement of term of office of a member of the House of the people, the Council of states, the State Legislative Assembly or State Legislative Council or a Municipal Councillor or a Councillor of a Municipal Corporation or a Councillor of a Town Panchayat or a member of a Taluk Panchayat or Grama Panchayat, his seat in the Zilla Panchayat shall become vacant unless he has previously resigned his seat in the House of the People, the Council of States, the State Legislative Assembly or the State Legislative Council or the Municipal Council or the Municipal Corporation or Town Panchayat or Taluk Panchayat or Grama Panchayat as the case may be.]

168. Vacancy of Seats. -(2) The 1[State Election Commission] on a report made to it and after giving a reasonable opportunity to the person concerned of being heard shall declare whether the seat of the person concerned is or has become vacant.

8. The PDO, Galathaga Grama Panchayat, Chikkodi Taluk, Belagavi District has been considered as Petitioner and Sri Rajendra Ramappa Pawar, member, Zilla Panchayat, Belagavi as Respondent. The notices were issued to both the parties and the case was heard on 04-10-2017, 13-10-2017, 28-11-2017, 20-12-2017, 02-01-2018, 23-01-2018, 20-02-2018, 06-03-2018 and 20-03-2018.
9. On 04.10.2017, the Respondent Sri Rajendra Ramappa Pawar appeared before the Commission in person and sought time to engage an advocate in his defense and also to secure documents to produce before the Commission.
10. The Grama Panchayat PDO Sri M.S. Pimpalagavi the Petitioner in his written statement dated: 14-03-2018, states that he is working as PDO in the Galathaga Grama panchayat, Chikkodi Taluk, Belagavi District. Sri Rajendra Ramappa Pawar, the Grama Panchayat member, Galathaga Grama Panchayat has not tendered his resignation after becoming the member of Zilla Panchayat, Belagavi District. In the letter Dated: 09-08-2017 submitted to the Commission, PDO claims that Sri Pawar has failed to tender the resignation within 15 days from the date of notification as per the **Section 167(2) of KGSPR Act, 1993**, but he resigned only on 23-09-2017. The PDO after receiving the resignation letter had informed the same to the Assistant Commissioner, Chikkodi Sub division on 10-10-2017 and marking copies to the Executive Officer, Chikkodi Taluk Panchayat and the Tahsildar, Chikkodi Taluk for information and necessary action. He has prayed the Commission to take appropriate action against the Respondent.
11. The Respondent Sri Rajendra Ramappa Pawar, member, Zilla Panchayat, Belagavi submitted his written arguments through learned counsel Sri A.S. Naveen. It is submitted that Sri Rajendra Ramappa Pawar has been elected as a member of Grama Panchayat, Galathaga, Ward No.11 Bheemapurawadi, Chikkodi Taluk, Belagavi District on 05-06-2015. Later he also got elected as the member of Zilla Panchayat reserved constituency Bhoj-33 on 23-02-2016. After being elected as a Zilla Panchayat member, he tendered his resignation to the membership of Grama Panchayat, in his resignation letter dated: 04-03-2016 addressing to the President of the Grama Panchayat, Galathaga wherein he has obtained acknowledgement on the same day i.e., on 04-03-2016. Further, he submits that he gave a copy of resignation letter to the Executive Officer, Taluk Panchayat, Chikkodi, on the same day itself and obtained acknowledgment too. The Respondent claims that the President of Taluk Panchayat belonging to B.J.P Party having suppressed the resignation letter given by him and failed to take any action on it.
12. The Respondent further submits that after receiving notice from Commission in this case, the Respondent offered the resignation letter once again that is for the second time on 23-09-2017 and obtained acknowledgment from the President Grama Panchayat. The election was conducted for the Respondent's Constituency, Bhimapurawadi, 11th ward, Galathaga Gram Panchayat and the seat was filled. Producing the death certificate of his father, he submits that after tendering his resignation to the member of Grama Panchayat on 04-03-2016, he could not take up a follow up action because he was required to manage affairs of his family, as his father suddenly fell ill, and got him admitted to the hospital at Kollapur, for a period of one and half months, but without any success as his father breathed his last on 25-04-2016. Hence, he asserts that he has submitted the resignation within 15 days as per the provision under the **section 167(2) of the KGSPR Act, 1993** and obtained acknowledgement. Therefore, he prays for closing the case based on the true facts and the documents produced to authenticate his claims.
13. Both parties have lead evidence. PDO Sri Pimpalagavi examined as PW-1, documents at Ex-P1 to P6 are marked. He was cross examined by the counsel for the Respondent. The Respondent Sri Pawar got himself examined as RW-1, documents at Ex-R 1 to R 4 were marked. PDO has cross examined, the Respondent. The counsel for the Respondent has filed written arguments and PDO submitted the written statement filed by him may be taken as oral arguments, whereas counsel for Respondent addressed oral arguments in detail.
14. I have carefully perused the oral, documentary evidence and the records submitted by the parties. PDO in his written statement submits that the Respondent having resigned on 23-09-2017, well after statutory period of 15 days has violated **section 167(2) of the KGSPR Act, 1993**, therefore he prays for suitable action against the Respondent in accordance with law.

15. The points that arise for my consideration are as under:
 1. Whether the Respondent is liable to be disqualified ?
 2. What Order ?
16. Answers :
 1. Negative.
 2. As per below order.

REASONS

17. PW-1 Sri. Pimpalagavi, the PDO, Galathaga Grama Panchayat on the basis of Ex-P1 to P6 prays for suitable action may be taken against the Respondent. In the cross examination, he pleads ignorance of the Respondent submitting resignation on 04-03-2016 to the Panchayat and also about the Respondent submitting a copy of it to the Executive officer of the Taluk Panchayat, Chikkodi on the same day. But it is significant to note the following admission of PW-1 which reads as under:

“ΕÁΕÁ ΖÁΕÁªÁ ΔΑιΕÁΥΠ JzÁgÁzÁgg «gÁz zEgÁ ¢Árz ¢ΕÁΑΡ 23.09.2017 gAzÁ JzÁgÁzÁggÁ ΥÁªÁ ΨΑΖÁÁÁw CzÍPjU ¢ÁvEªÁ gÁfÁΕÁªÁ , ¢¹gÁvÁg JEÁªÁzÁ ¢d”.

It is evident from the above admission by the PW-1 that the Respondent has submitted his resignation letter once again for the second time after complaint was made against the Respondent to the Commission. PW-1 has failed to prove that the Respondent had not tendered his resignation on 04-03-2016.

18. This admission in my opinion, cuts the very root of the complaint's case, that for the first time the Respondent was submitting resignation on 23-09-2017, thereby attracting a disqualification **u/s 167 (2) of the KGSPR Act, 1993**. PW-1 relies on Ex-P2 the resignation letter dated 23-09-2017 received by the President of Grama Panchayat, Galathaga with the signature and seal of Grama Panchayat President. There is a photograph of the Respondent snapped along with the President and other members of Grama Panchayat in the presence of PDO to show that the Respondent resigned on 23-09-2017. The Ex-P3 is the covering letter dated 10-10-2017 to show that the President of Grama Panchayat has forwarded Ex-P2 to PDO Galathaga Grama Panchayat for further needful action, whereas Ex-P4 is the letter dated 10-10-2017 to the Assistant Commissioner, Chikkodi sub division by PDO, marking a copy to the Executive Officer, Chikkodi Taluk Panchayat informing that the resignation tendered to the President of Galathaga Grama Panchayat by the member of Bheempurawadi Ward No.11 constituency on 23-09-2017 has been accepted by the President. The copy of it was marked to Tahsildar, Chikkodi Taluk under Ex-P5 which was handed over to inward clerk of Tahsildar, Chikkodi, whereas Ex-P6 was given in person to inward clerk of the Assistant Commissioner, Chikkodi sub division on 11-10-2017. In my opinion all the documents produced by the complainant do not assume importance in the light of admission of PW-1 referred supra.
19. The above admission of PW-1 makes me to draw an inference that RW-1 did submit his resignation letter dated 04-03-2016 and obtained acknowledgment under Ex-R1, by delivering the letter to inward clerk of Grama Panchayat Galathaga on 04-03-2016 itself. The seal and signature of inward clerk Grama Panchayat has been marked at Ex-R1(b). Similarly, on the same day the Respondent delivered a copy of resignation letter dated 04-03-2016 to inward clerk of Taluk Panchayat, Chikkodi, and obtained acknowledgment at Ex-R2(b). The above admission of PW-1 is sufficient to impute the knowledge of original of Ex-R1, to President and PDO as well. I am therefore inclined to uphold the case of the Respondent that the resignation letter was suppressed by the President of Grama Panchayat, viewed from this angle non-examination of the President of Grama Panchayat, Galathaga is fatal because his evidence would have been crucial for proving Ex-P2 and to disprove Ex-R1.
20. Coming to oral evidence of RW-1 Sri Rajendra Ramappa Pawar, he asserts that the President of Gram Panchayat has suppressed his resignation letter dated 04-03-2016, on the ground that he is supporter of National Congress Party whereas the President Grama Panchayat belongs to B.J.P. that is the reason as to why the President has not acted in favor of the Respondent. I am of the opinion that evidence of RW-1 is probable in the light of admission of PW-1, that after he filed complaint to the Commission, the Respondent submitted his resignation letter again, which goes without saying that Ex-P2 is the second resignation and that the one submitted on 04-03-2016, is the first one. Further the assertion of RW-1 that due to death of his father on 25-04-2016, he could not pay his attention, for the developments that took place after 04-03-2016, is most probable. So, I believe his oral evidence and death certificate of his father Ex-R3. I also draw an inference that village panchayat made efforts to be perfect this time, that after making Respondent to resign on 23-09-2017 for the second time, by producing a copy of a photograph taken along with the President and other members in presence of the PDO and annexing the same with Ex-P2. So, I am not inclined to uphold the make believe case of the complainant, on whom burden is very heavy to prove his case beyond reasonable doubt, as it is quasi-criminal proceeding.
21. It is obvious that the PW-1 has completely failed to act as per the provisions of **Section 43 of the KGSPR Act, 1993**, wherein after receiving the resignation letter from the member in his hand writing, addressed to the President, and if the resignation was not withdrawn within 15 days, PDO should have put up before the President to place it in the next meeting of the Grama Panchayat. Infact the PDO should have requested the President to place this resignation letter in the next meeting and get the proceedings drawn to show the acceptance of that resignation. Instead of doing so, simply forwarded the resignation to

Assistant Commissioner, Chikkodi Sub division and marked the copies to the Executive Officer, Chikkodi Taluk Panchayat and Tahsildar, Chikkodi Taluk, for information, which is serious lapse on part of PDO.

22. It is significant to note that on the basis of Ex-P1 dated 29-08-2017, the Commission registered the case and issued notices to the PDO and the Respondent as well returnable by 04-10-2017. These notices were sent by RLAD to be served through CEO, Zilla Panchayat Belagavi. On 04-10-2017 PDO and the Respondent were present before the Commission. This goes without saying that the PDO had the knowledge of Court proceeding and also first hearing date of this case. However, the PDO has not informed the Commission on which date he has sent the vacancy report to the Deputy Commissioner, Belagavi or to his next superior officers, Under **Rule 83 of Karnataka Panchayat Raj (Conduct of Election) Rules, 1993** the PDO has to report the vacancy to the Deputy Commissioner and to the State Election Commission within 7 days of arising of such vacancy. In my opinion, RW-1 has proved Ex R-1 by submitting the same to President and obtaining the seal and signature of inward clerk under Ex- R1(b), by test of probability, as we cannot expect from him a proof beyond reasonable doubt. Thus, after lapse of 15 days, resignation takes effect making it legal and valid.
23. However, the Additional Deputy Commissioner, Belagavi in his letter dated 10-11-2017 addressed to the Commission has informed the existing vacancy in the district for further necessary action. On the basis of the report received from the Deputy Commissioner, the Commission conducted by-elections to fill the vacant seats on 17-12-2017. Thereby, the said seat of Grama Panchayat, Galathaga has also been filled up.
24. In the event of this, Commission coming to a conclusion that Respondent having failed to resign within 15 days, it was required to declare the seat of Zilla Panchayat as vacant. As a consequence, Respondent would be relegated to his seat of Grama Panchayat, Galathaga. But in the present case the Respondent cannot be relegated to the said seat, because the seat has already been filled up. In such situation Respondent would lose both the seats, which is against the tenor of **section 167(2) of KGSPR Act, 1993**. All this has happened due to the negligence of PDO in not following the existing act and rules which tantamount to grievous misconduct.
25. In this regard it is relevant to note the ruling of Hon'ble Supreme Court in Sadashiva H.Patil's case, which reads as under:

The Legal Position is :

1. (2000) 8 SCC 82 = AIR 2000 SC 3044, **Sadashiv H. Patil Vs Vithal D. Teke and others** though rendered under Maharashtra Local Authority Members' Disqualification Act, 1986 (20 of 1987), Sections 3(1)(b) & 7, the Law laid down by Supreme Court, would be attracted as enumerated in para 14 of the Judgment because I am also dealing in the present case with disqualification of Respondent and to declare the said seat vacant, as such burden of proof would be very heavy upon the complainant herein.

The para no.14 Judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court reads as under :

"A finding as to disqualification under the Act has the effect of unseating a person from an elected office held by him pursuant to his victory at the polls in accordance with the democratic procedure of constituting a local authority. The consequence befall not only him as an individual but also the constituency represented by him which would cease to be represented on account of his having been disqualified. Looking at the penal consequences flowing from an elected councilor being subjected to disqualification and its repercussion on the functioning of the local body as also the city or township governed by the local body provision have to be construed strictly. A rigorous compliance with the provisions of the Act and the Rules must be shown to have taken place while dealing with a reference under section 7 of the Act".

26. In my opinion, above ruling operates against the complainant and in favor of the Respondent, who has placed a material to probabalise his case that no action was taken on his first resignation tendered on 04-03-2016. Under these circumstances, the *Sadashiva Patil's* case applies to the case on hand and makes me to conclude that complainant has failed to prove his case beyond reasonable doubt that the Respondent failing to resign in 15 days from the date of notification of the names of members, **under Section 172 the KGSPR Act, 1993**, has incurred a disqualification **u/s 167(2) of KGSPR Act, 1993**. So, I answer Point no.1 in the negative.
27. Point No.2 :

In the result I dismiss the complaint and pass the following order.

ORDER

Complaint dismissed.

The order is dictated, corrected and pronounced in the open court.

Dated : 17.04.2018

P.R. 507

SC-25

P.N. Sreenivasachary

Commissioner,

Karnataka State Election Commission,
Bengaluru.

fĀCPÁJUĀ PÁiġÁĐ@AiĀ, vĀĀPĒgĀ fĀ, vĀĀPĒgĀ.

,ASġ: UĀĒE/GvĀ/e.P/Cĉ,ĒZE/2018-19, ĉĒÁP: 03.07.2018

vĀĀPĒgĀ fĀĀi vĀĀPĒgĀ, PĀtUĀi ĀvĀ ĀZĀVj vĀĀPĒgĀ ĀġġĀiĀ° PEÁĐIP PĀĀ ŷĀr ĀiġĀĀ WIPUĀ (PμġiUĀ) PĀiĀvt PĀiĀi °ÁUĒ PĀiĀġĀġ-2013g CrĀiĀ° ,ġQv ĀĀiĀUĀUĀV GzĀġġĀ ŷZĀġUĀ.

F PĀPAq ŷnĀiĀ°ġĀ vĀĀPĒgĀ, PĀtUĀi ĀvĀ ĀZĀVj vĀĀPĒgĀ ĀġġĀiĀ° ,PĀġj/UĒĀġĀġ/ŷmĀ dĀĀĀĒ° PĀĀ ŷĀr ĀiġĀĀ WIPUĀĒ PĒÁĐIP PĀĀ ŷĀr ĀiġĀĀ WIPUĀ wzĀŷr PĀiĀġĀġ-2013gĀv, vĀĀPĒgĀ fĀĀi° ,ġQv ĀĀiĀPĀV GzĀġġĀ ŷZĀġ. F PĀPAq PĒĀμPz°ġĀ vĀĀPĒgĀ, PĀtUĀi ĀvĀ ĀZĀVj vĀĀPĒgĀ ĀġġĀiĀ° PĀĀŷĀr ĀiġĀĀ WIPUĀĒ ,ġġġ, PĀ fĀ PĀĀŷĀr ĀiġĀĀ WIPUĀ PĀiĀvt ŷĀCPġgz ĉĒÁP: 29.06.2018gĀZĀ Ēqz ,ĀĀ ,ĀiĀ wĀġĀĒZĀV Cĉ,ĒZEUĀV PĀĀ-Ā,ĀVz.

ĉĒĀĒZEUĒAq ,ġQv ĀĀiĀUĀ «ġ F PĀPAqĀwz.

P. ,A.	fĀ	vĀĀPĒ	UĀġġ ° ,ġĀ	,ĒA.	dĀĀĒ vg°	«Āġġ (JPg)
1	vĀĀPĒgĀ	vĀĀPĒgĀ	q tĒĀiĀPĒŷġ	43/ġ1 ĀvĀ 46/1	ŷmĀ	6-20
2	vĀĀPĒgĀ	PĀtUĀi	zĒqġġġvĒgĀ	97	,PĀġj UĒĀġĀġ	3-00
3	vĀĀPĒgĀ	ĀZĀVj	ĒĒĒ°ġ	31/1	ŷmĀ	2-21

«Āġ ,ĒZE :- fĀ PĀĀŷĀr ĀiġĀĀ WIPUĀ ŷĀCPġgĀ ĀĀZ PĒUĒġS°ĀZĀZ wĀġĀĒZ μġwUĒġŷġĀvz.

PR - 517

SC - 25

fĀCPÁJUĀ,

vĀĀPĒgĀ fĀ, vĀĀPĒgĀ.

ĀĀZ t, ĀĒĒ ,ĀĀV ĀvĀ ŷPġUĀ ,zĀġġ PĀiġÁĐ@AiĀ
8ĒĀ ĀĒĒ, ĀĒĒ,ĒgĀ g, , Dġġ.«.PĀĀĀĀ CAZ, ĀUĀĒgĀ-560 059.

Cĉ,ĒZE

,ASġ: rġJ,ġ 23(ĉ) EJ,ġn-J 2016-17/732, ĉĒÁP: 28.06.2018

«μĀiĀ :- PEÁĐIP ,ĀĀđđP GzĒĒU (ĒzgĀĀZġ - PEÁĐIP ŷZĀġġ ĒĀĀPĀwĀiĀ° «ĀĀ,Āw) DzĀġ, 2013 grĀiĀ° ĀĀZ t, ĀĒĒ ,ĀĀV ĀvĀ ŷPġUĀ EĀSAiĀ°Ē «Āz ĀĒZUĀ° ŷĀZĀġP ,ġĀiĀ ĀĒZ ĀvĀ gĀġġ Āġġ ĀĒZUĀĒ gĀġ ĒzgĀĀZġ-PEÁĐIP ŷZĀġġġjUĀV ĀZġUĀĒ UĀġĀw,Ā PĀġvĀ.

- GĀĒR :-
1. ĀĀZ t, ĀĒĒ ,ĀĀV ĀvĀ ŷPġUĀ EĀSAiĀ Cĉ,ĒZE ,ASġ: rġJ,ġ EJ,ġn-J-52/2014-15, ĉĒÁP: 20-02-2015.
 2. Cĉ,ĒZE ,ASġ: rġJ,ġ 73 EJ,ġn J-2016-17, ĉĒÁP: 10.06.2016.
 3. Cĉ,ĒZE ,ASġ: rġJ,ġ 23 EJ,ġn J-2016-17/1205, ĉĒÁP: 16.08.2016.
 4. ,PĀġgz Cĉ,ĒZE ,ASġ: Er 46 EJ,ġqSĒĒ 2016, ĉĒÁP: 09.03.2017.
 5. P.D.,ASġ: r.ġJ,ġ 12 EJ,ġn J 2016-17/80, ĉĒÁP: 03.05.2018.

GĀĒR (1), (2) ĀvĀ (3)g EĀSAiĀ Cĉ,ĒZEĀiĀ° PEÁĐIP ,ĀĀđđP GzĒĒU (ĒzgĀĀZġ - PEÁĐIP ŷZĀġġ ĒĀĀPĀwĀiĀ° «ĀĀ,Āw) DzĀġ, 2013g ĀĀġU ĀĀZ t, ĀĒĒ ,ĀĀV, ĀvĀ ŷPġUĀ EĀSAiĀ ĀĒZ PĀiĀvt ŷĀCPġgĀ gĀġġġ ,ġĀiĀ ĀĒZ °ÁUĒ ŷĀZĀġP ,ġĀiĀ ĀĒZUĀĒ UĀġĀw¹ Cĉ,ĒA,ĀVġĀvz. ĀĀZĀġgzĀ EĀSAiĀ°Ē PĀĀ vĀĀWP ŠZĀġu ĀvĀ PĀ, z °ĒġĀiĀĒ ĉĒĀ,ġ «Āz ĀĒZUĀ° SĀ° ĒġĀ PĀĀ ĀZġUĀĒ UĀġĀw¹ ġZĀŷr, PĀġġPġ ,ĀĒĒ ,ĀVvĀ, GĀĒR (4)g ,PĀġgz ĉZĀġġ EĀSU ĀĀĀĒĒĒĒĒ MġĀ ĀZġUĀ ŷĒġ «Āz ĀĒZUĀ 444 ĀZġUĀĒ ġZĀŷr¹ DzĀġ,ĀVġĀvz. °ÁUĒ GĀĒR (5)g F Pbġj DzĀġġ ĀĀġU, F »Āz °Ēġrġz Cĉ,ĒZEĀiĀ ĉĒĀSĀz-1 ĀvĀ ĉĒĀSĀz-2g° ĀZġUĀ ,Sġ ŠZĀġuĀiĀġġġġzġz ,zj Cĉ,ĒZEĀiĀ ĀĀĀ vUzĀPĒAq JĀ PĀĀUĀĒĒ ĀiĀĀġġ ĀĀZĀġġ¹ ,zj Cĉ,ĒZEĀiĀĒ ŷġġġ¹ F ĀĒĒP ŷPġ,ĀVz.

F Cĉ,ĒZEĀiĀ° PEÁĐIP ,ĀĀđđP GzĒĒU (ĒzgĀĀZġ-PEÁĐIP ŷĀġġġ ĒĀĀPĀwĀiĀ° «ĀĀ,Āw) DzĀġ, 2013g ĉZĀiĀ 1, PĀiĀĀ 3(2)g ŷPġ ,ĀĒĒ° “J” QġĀiĀ ĀvĒĒġġ ,ĀĒĒ° “ĉ”, ,ĀĒĒ° “1” °ÁUĒ ,ĀĒĒ° “r” Ē°Ē ĀZġUĀĒ ĀiĀv ŷġġ,ĀVz.

ΣΕΛΕΝΑ - 1

ΓΑΔΙΟΙ ΑΙΖ, ΜΕΛΑΙΟΙ ΑΙΖ

ΠΕΔΙΟ ΑΑΔΔΠ ΓΖΑΙΟΥ (ΟΕΖΓΑΪ - ΠΕΔΙΟ ΨΖΑΪΠ ΕΑΑΠΑΩΑΙΑ° «ΑΑ, ΑΩ) ΔΖΑΪ, 2013g PARP 13g ΔΕΑΙΑ ΓΑΔΙΟΙ ΑΙΖ ΠΒΑΪΟΥΑΖΑ ΥΑΓΑΩ, ΑΖ ΑΑΖΑΥΑΑΑΙΟΥΑ, ΑΑΑΙΑ°Ε ΟΑΖΟΥΑ° ΑΑΖΑΑΓΑ ΟΕΖΓΑΪ - ΠΕΔΙΟ ΨΖΑΪ ΑΑΪΑΙΑ, ΜΕΛΑΙΟΙ ΑΙΟΥΑ ©Ϊ«ΓΑ ± 8 gμΑ ΟΑΖΟΥΑΕΑ F ΠΑΠΑQAV «ΑΑ, °j 1z Ψn.

P A .	°Äz	°Äv£ ±Ä t (g£.U¼°)	PEÄðIP ,Ä°ðdðP Gz£iÄU (°i:-P ¥°Ä±P £Ä°ÄPÄWAiä° «ÄÄ, ÄW) DzÄ±, 2013g PARP 2 (L) £r °ÄiSÄið, ÄVgÄ°Av gÄdi°Äl z PbÄjU¼° MIÄ °ÄAd£gÄz °iAz °ÄgÄ °ÄzU¼ ,ASi	°ÄÄS r	£Äg £Ä°ÄPÄW	,¼ÄÄiÄ °ÄiAZz° °i:-P ¥zÄ±z°ÄjUÄV UÄgÄW, Äz ±Ä 8 gμÄ °ÄzU¼Ä			μgÄ
						°ÄÄS r	£Äg £Ä°ÄPÄW	MIÄ	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
UÄÄ¥Ä-"J" °ÄiAz									
1.	G¥ □zÄð±PgÄ (Dq½v °ÄvÄ ÄP¥v)	28100-50100	1	(±Ä.100 °ÄÄÄS r)		-	-	-	MAZÄ °Äzi «ÄÄ, ÄW C£ÄÄ, ÄÄi£®
				1	-				
2.	G¥ □zÄð±PgÄ (vÄAwP)	28100-50100	3	(±Ä.100 °ÄÄÄS r)		1	-	1	gÄdi °ÄÄi eÄμv
				3	-				
UÄÄ¥Ä-"©" °ÄiAz									
3.	, °ÄÄiÄP □zÄð±PgÄ (vÄAwP)	21600-40050	10	(±Ä.62:2/3, 33:1/3 °ÄÄÄ:£Ä£Ä)		1	-	1	gÄdi °ÄÄi eÄμv
				7	3				
4.	, °ÄÄiÄP □zÄð±PgÄ (vÄAwPÄvg)	21600-40050	6	(±Ä.100 °ÄÄÄS r)		1	-	1	gÄdi °ÄÄi eÄμv
				6	-				
5.	, °ÄÄiÄP □zÄð±PgÄ (°ÄÄz t ÄÄU£)	21600-40050	1	(±Ä.100 °ÄÄÄS r)		-	-	-	MAZÄ °Äzi «ÄÄ, ÄW C£ÄÄ, ÄÄi£®
				1	-				
UÄÄ¥Ä-"1" °ÄiAz									
6.	C£ÄPPgÄ (°iP)	20000-36300	12	(±Ä.100 °ÄÄÄS r)		1	-	1	gÄdi °ÄÄi eÄμv
				12	-				

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
7.	ªÁÁRì ¯¯ ¯QUGÁ	17650-32000	1	(±Á.100 ªÁÁAS r)	-	-	-	MAZÁ ¯ÁZì «ÁÁ, ¯Áw CÉ→Á, ÁªÁ©
				1 -				
8.	ªÁW ¯ ÁÚÁggÁ	14550-26700	3	(±Á.50:50 ªÁÁA:ÉÁÉÁ)	-	1	1	gÁΔì ªÁì eÁμν
				1 2				
9.	¥. z. ªÁÁiÁPgÁ (¯ ÁP)	14550-26700	27	(±Á.50:50 ªÁÁA:ÉÁÉÁ)	1	2	3	gÁΔì ªÁì eÁμν
				13 14				
10.	¯¯ ¯QUGÁ	14550-26700	4	(±Á.50:50 ªÁÁA:ÉÁÉÁ)	-	1	1	gÁΔì ªÁì eÁμν
				2 2				
11.	»jÁiÁ ªÁ¼ZÁÚÁggÁ	14550-26700	2	(±Á.100 ªÁÁAS r)	1	-	1	gÁΔì ªÁì eÁμν
				2 -				
12.	ªÁ¼ZÁÚÁggÁ	11600-21000	7	(±Á.100 ÉÁ.ÉÁ)	-	1	1	gÁΔì ªÁì eÁμν
				- 7				
13.	¢. z. ¯ÁPgÁ (¯ ÁP)	11600-21000	61	(±Á.33:67 ªÁÁA:ÉÁÉÁ)	2	3	5	gÁΔì ªÁì eÁμν
				20 41				
14.	m¥ìgì l gì ªÁPÁìPì	11600-21000	1	(±Á.100 ÉÁ.ÉÁ)	-	-	-	MAZÁ ¯ÁZì «ÁÁ, ¯Áw CÉ→Á, ÁªÁ©.
				- 1				
15.	fÁ¥ì / ¯Áj / ªÁ ¯ É ZÁ©PgÁ	11600-21000	6	(±Á.50:50 ªÁÁA:ÉÁÉÁ)	-	1	1	gÁΔì ªÁì eÁμν
				3 3				
16.	ªÁÁ ¯ ZÁgPgÁ	20000-36300	18	(±Á.100 ªÁÁAS r)	2	-	2	gÁΔì ªÁì eÁμν
				18 -				
17.	ªÁÁRìPgqÁ ¥jÁPPgÁ	20000-36300	2	(±Á.100 ªÁÁAS r)	1	-	1	gÁΔì ªÁì eÁμν
				2 -				
18.	C¢ÁPPgÁ (PÁÚÁj P)	20000-36300	3	(±Á.100 ªÁÁAS r)	1	-	1	gÁΔì ªÁì eÁμν
				3 -				
19.	CUPÁ«ÁðPgÁ	17650-32000	36	(±Á.66:2/3, 33:1/3 ªÁÁA:ÉÁÉÁ)	2	1	3	gÁΔì ªÁì eÁμν
				24 12				
				- -				

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
UAAŸĀ-"©" aĭAz									
2.	, °ÁAiĀP □zĀđ±PgĀ (vÁAWP)	21600-40050	1	(±Ā.62:2/3, 33:1/3 aĀĀA:ĒĀĒĀ)	-	-	-	MAZĀ °ĀZĭ «ĀĀ, Āw CEĀĀ, Āaĭ©®	
				1					-
3.	, °ÁAiĀP □zĀđ±PgĀ (vÁAwPĀvg)	21600-40050	1	(±Ā.100 aĀĀAS r)	-	-	-	MAZĀ °ĀZĭ «ĀĀ, Āw CEĀĀ, Āaĭ©®	
				1					-
UAAŸĀ-"1" aĭAz									
4.	CĉĀPPgĀ (° ĭP)	20000-36300	2	(±Ā.100 aĀĀAS r)	1	-	1	gĀdi aĀĭĭ eĀμv	
				2					-
5.	Ÿ. z. , °ÁAiĀPgĀ (° ĭP)	14550-26700	3	(±Ā.50:50 aĀĀA:ĒĀĒĀ)	1	1	2	gĀdi aĀĭĭ eĀμv	
				2					1
6.	" " QUGĀ	14550-26700	1	(±Ā.50:50 aĀĀA:ĒĀĒĀ)	-	-	-	MAZĀ °ĀZĭ «ĀĀ, Āw CEĀĀ, Āaĭ©®	
				1					-
7.	" g¼ZĀUÁggĀ	11600-21000	1	(±Ā.100 ĒĀ.ĒĀ)	-	-	-	MAZĀ °ĀZĭ «ĀĀ, Āw CEĀĀ, Āaĭ©®	
				-					1
8.	ĉ. z. , °ÁAiĀPgĀ (° ĭP)	11600-21000	6	(±Ā.33:67 aĀĀA:ĒĀĒĀ)	2	3	5	gĀdi aĀĭĭ eĀμv	
				2					4
9.	aĀĀ ° ZÁgPgĀ	20000-36300	1	(±Ā.100 aĀĀAS r)	-	-	-	MAZĀ °ĀZĭ «ĀĀ, Āw CEĀĀ, Āaĭ©®	
				1					-
10.	CĉĀPPgĀ (PĭUÁĭP)	20000-36300	1	(±Ā.100 aĀĀAS r)	-	-	-	MAZĀ °ĀZĭ «ĀĀ, Āw CEĀĀ, Āaĭ©®	
				1					-
11.	CUPĀĀPgĀ	17650-32000	3	(±Ā.67:2/3, 33:1/3 aĀĀA:ĒĀĒĀ)	1	1	2	gĀdi aĀĭĭ eĀμv	
				2					1
12.	ĒĒĒĒ DŸgĀĭgĭ	17650-32000	1	(±Ā.100 aĀĀAS r)	-	-	-	MAZĀ °ĀZĭ «ĀĀ, Āw CEĀĀ, Āaĭ©®	
				1					-
				-					-
13	» ĭAiĀ DŸĭ, mĭ aĀĀZP	17650-32000	3	(±Ā.100 aĀĀAS r)	2	-	2	fĀ aĀĭĭ eĀμv	
				3					-

3806

PEÄð IP gÁdi¥v, UÄgÄªÁg, dÄ- 12, 2018

ÁÚ 3

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
27	gIÄ PIÄªa gÄ	11600-21000	21	(±Ä.100 ªÄÄÄS r) 21 -	17	-	17	f-ÄªÄi eÄµv
UÄÄÄÄ-"r"ªÄZ								
28	CmAqgi (Pé)	10400-16400	2	(±Ä.100 ªÄÄÄS r) 2 -	1	-	1	f-ÄªÄi eÄµv
29	UÄmiQÄ¥gi	10400-16400	1	(±Ä.100 ªÄÄÄS r) 1 -	-	-	-	MAZÄªÄZ «ÄÄ, -Äw CE-Ä, ÄÄÄ®
30	,ªÄÄiÄP gIÄ PIÄªa gÄ	10400-16400	45	(±Ä.100 EÄ.EÄ) - 45	-	36	36	f-ÄªÄi eÄµv
31	,ªÄÄiÄP UÄmi QÄ¥gi	9600-14550	2	(±Ä.100 EÄ.EÄ) - 2	-	1	1	f-ÄªÄi eÄµv
32	gÄw PÄªÄUÄg	9600-14550	2	(±Ä.100 EÄ.EÄ) - 2	-	1	1	f-ÄªÄi eÄµv
33	PEÄIgi	9600-14550	11	(±Ä.100 EÄ.EÄ) - 11	-	10	10	f-ÄªÄi eÄµv
34	¹Ä¥gi/,ÄªÄÄgi	9600-14550	3	(±Ä.100 EÄ.EÄ) - 3	-	2	2	f-ÄªÄi eÄµv
35	,ªÄÄiÄP ¥ÄmiªÄPgí	10400-16400	1	(±Ä.100 ªÄÄÄS r) 1 -	-	-	-	MAZÄªÄZ «ÄÄ, -Äw CE-Ä, ÄÄÄ®
36	dªÄE	9600-14550	2	(±Ä.100 EÄ.EÄ) - 2	-	1	1	f-ÄªÄi eÄµv
37	CmAqgi / z¥zÄgi / »jAiÄ ¥ÄPgí	10400-16400	1	(±Ä.100 ªÄÄÄS r) 1 -	-	-	-	MAZÄªÄZ «ÄÄ, -Äw CE-Ä, ÄÄÄ®

PR - 508

SC - 20

JA g«±APgi

ªZÄð±PgÄ